

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_128497
Title of the Manuscript:	CONTRIBUTION TO THE IDENTIFICATION AND PATHOGENICITY OF THE PHYTOPATHOGENIC BACTERIA RESPONSIBLE FOR TUBEROUS ROT OF MANIOC IN THE PRODUCTION ZONES OF THE COTE D'IVOIRE
Type of the Article	Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Cassava is a staple crop in Cote d'ivoire, providing food security and income for the people. However, diseases like cassava root rot significantly reduce yields, posing a major threat to the farmers. The root rot of cassava is sometimes caused by the bacteria. The current research aims at identifying the important bacterial species causing root rot in different areas of Cote d'ivoire. The authors isolated 174 bacterial strains. 91.5% bacterial strains tested caused root rot, of which 52.4% caused dey rot & 39% caused soft rot. Out of the many isolates, Erwinia species was predominant in many locations. Therefore, this research may be a foundation for finding a remedy for the root rot of cassava.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Few spelling mistakes and grammatical mistakes Correct the spelling of Phytopatogenic = Phytopathogenic Simpler Title can be "Isolation & identification of bacteria causing cassava root rot from major production areas of Cote D'ivoire"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Good	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Good research having future prospects	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mamatha Pingili
Department, University & Country	Vaageswari Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, India