

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_129567
Title of the Manuscript:	A Cross-Sectional Study For The Impact Of Primary Caesarean Section On Future Pregnancy Outcome At Rural Based Tertiary Care Centre, Gujarat, India.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The title of the manuscript is relevant to modern obstetrics practice. Issues regarding the safety of CS, feto-maternal outcomes are to be looked into from time to time.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is inappropriate. An alternate title should be considered. The prevalence of caesarean section in a tertiary facility and its feto-maternal outcome. A retrospective study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Grossly inappropriate, there is need for revision.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	There is lack basic scientific requirement across all the sections of the manuscript. A thorough revision will be required.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some statement of facts are not referenced.	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Inappropriate. Some of the choice of words are not scientific mode of writing.	
Optional/General comments	There is need major revision of the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Matthew Olusegun Fijabiyi
Department, University & Country	Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Nigeria