

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_129567
Title of the Manuscript:	A Cross-Sectional Study For The Impact Of Primary Caesarean Section On Future Pregnancy Outcome At Rural Based Tertiary Care Centre, Gujarat, India.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Very important topic. Overall, this is a very interesting paper which will appeal to the readership of the journal, particularly with its broad intellectual reach.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Good title	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	yes	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	yes	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Very important topic Good title Unify font size and type & line spaces justify lines	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Yes, but I add more references	

### Review Form 3

:		
Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	yes	
Optional/General comments	Title should not end by full stop It is better to not split table into two pages editing	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hanan Elzeblawy Hassan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Egypt</b>