

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_129427
Title of the Manuscript:	Serum Lactic Acid: A Predictor of Septic Shock in Childhood Cancers with Febrile Neutropenia in a Tertiary Care Hospital in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p><i>Febrile neutropenia: a good initiative study conducted, which is most important for any candidate who is under cancer treatment, this study will help how to treat such patients to avoid the neutropenia</i></p> <p><i>Second thing is that Incorporating serum lactate measurement into routine practice may optimize patient management and reduce septic shock-related morbidity and mortality in this vulnerable population is good thing that You have done</i></p> <p>But it might have been good to mention further treatment to avoid high level of lactic acid in Blood stream with medication to overcome, which is not mentioned</p> <p>Much required information, it should be Published In every cancer hospital to save patients Life</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes Title is good	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>Addition is</p> <p>But it might have been good to mention further treatment to avoid high level of lactic acid in Blood stream with medication to overcome, which is not mentioned</p> <p>Much required information, it should be Published In every cancer hospital to save patients Life</p>	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, manuscript scientifically, correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes references are good	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is Appropriate	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Same as above point no 01	

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

<u>Name:</u>	Sunil Tekale
<u>Department, University & Country</u>	India