

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_129532
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF HEAVY METAL CONTAMINATION IN CEREAL AND LEGUME FLOURS: A STUDY OF AWARENESS, IMPLICATIONS, AND HEALTH RISKS IN ENUGU STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Heavy metal contamination is important in food product. Heavy metals have been a subject of debate within the scientific community. Research state residents do not know what heavy metals are, regardless of their impacts on their food commodities. It is better the compare the findings with the region.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	article comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	scientifically, correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	references sufficient	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality is suitable	
Optional/General comments	It is good writing paper. It is better comparing the finding with the national and international standard.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sukru Dursun
Department, University & Country	Konya Technical University, Turkiye