

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_129497
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Knowledge and Adaptation Strategies of Farmers on Climate Change regarding Turmeric Cultivation
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses a critical area of research, contributing valuable insights that are highly relevant to the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title is concise and captures the essence of the study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a good summary of the study. However, it would benefit from more detail on the methodology and key findings. Adding 1-2 sentences that briefly describe the experimental design or main results can enhance its comprehensiveness. Avoid overly technical jargon in this section to maintain accessibility for a broad audience.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript appears scientifically accurate based on the available content. Ensure that all assumptions, experimental setups, and conclusions are supported by relevant evidence. Minor adjustments may be needed to clarify methods or interpret results more critically.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are generally adequate, but a few recent studies related to [specific topic or field] could further strengthen the manuscript. Consider including studies published within the last 3–5 years to maintain the currency of the research.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is largely appropriate for scholarly communication. Minor improvements can be made to enhance clarity and coherence, particularly in complex sentences. Proofreading for grammar and syntax will ensure it meets high academic standards.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

<u>Name:</u>	Kumari Akanksha
<u>Department, University & Country</u>	Birla Institute of Technology, India