

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_129419
Title of the Manuscript:	Biodiversity of aquatic insects in kalsa river (Chanfi) of Nainital district, Uttarakhand, India.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Good observation record for fishes areas like aquatic insects.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, these title of the book chapter suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, these abstract of the book chapter comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript scientifically, correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, the references is not sufficient I wrote on the article.	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, English quality of the book chapter suitable for scholarly communications.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chiranjeev Pandey
Department, University & Country	Government Digvijay Autonomous Postgraduate College Rajnandgaon, Chhattishgarh , India