

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_110742
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of safflower varieties under different dates of sowing and crop-weather relationships
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This project is important to the scientific community because it examines the effect of planting date and weather conditions of agricultural crops on the yields of 3 varieties of safflower.</p> <p>The title is appropriate, in fact it recapitulate the idea of the study, unless I propose this little change "Performance of safflower varieties under different sowing dates and crop-weather relationships"</p> <p>The abstract is structured and comprehensive.</p> <p>The idea of the study focusing on safflowers varieties performances under different sowing dates and crop-weather effects is original. The manuscript is clear and comprehensive and well structured.</p> <p>In the introduction section, when incorporating result affirmations to support your claims, it is essential to provide proper references with every declaration.</p> <p>In the material and methods section, it is recommended to specify with more detail the statistical tests used to examine the different data. When carrying out statistical processing, it is essential to mention the software you used. This allows other researchers to replicate your results and understand the used methodology.</p> <p>In the results section, to confirm any result it is essential to request more data and to add the statistical justifications for each test used.</p> <p>In conclusion section you can write a paragraph summarizing what the manuscript is about and how it adds to current knowledge in your field.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>To improve the English level of the manuscript a proofreading by a native English speaker is recommended.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	kabderaAbderrahim El Keroumi
Department, University & Country	Cadi Ayyad University, Morocco