

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_129390
Title of the Manuscript:	Becoming post-angioplasty patients: experience of the Avicenne military hospital in Marrakech
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is a very good study due to the importance of the subject which has high prevalence and high mortality It seems to be a case series study not case report	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No In my opinion, the title should be changed to clinical outcomes following angioplasty	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Different findings from other studies should be mentioned	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Not bad	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are very old, it is recommended to use new references.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	Revised title . It seems to be a case series study not case report . No in my opinion, the title should be changed to clinical outcomes following angioplasty. In discussion, Different findings from other studies should be mentioned References are very old, it is recommended to use new references	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamad Ail Yadegari
Department, University & Country	Zanjan University of Medical Sciences, Iran