

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_129524
Title of the Manuscript:	Socio-economic Profile Analysis of Pisciculture farmers in Puri district of Odisha, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This article presents scientific work on how to identify the social and economic benefits of fish farming; this is very important for the sustainability of fish farming research.</li> <li>This study provides a reliable method for measuring the socio-economic status of farmers, thus increasing the wealth of research methods.</li> </ul>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title is suitable	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract of this article is complete, but it needs to be added with what contribution the research results make to seaweed farmers.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The cited references are still new, but some scientific articles need to be equipped with website links or DOIs in order to be traced. The number of references should be added	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The quality of English is still very low, it needs changes to comply with the rules for writing scientific articles	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The article writing is quite good, but it needs a more complete explanation of the research results, especially the discussion section.	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Ridwan Lessy
Department, University & Country	Universitas Khairun, Indonesia