

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_129524
Title of the Manuscript:	Socio-economic Profile Analysis of Pisciculture farmers in Puri district of Odisha, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>		
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>		
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>		
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b><u>Optional/General</u> comments</b>	<p><b>Reviewers Comments</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The introduction in the abstract is overly detailed and needs to be concise.</li> <li>• The abstract is too lengthy. Please reduce it to 200-250 words.</li> <li>• Arrange the keywords in the abstract in alphabetical order.</li> <li>• The introduction is not well-structured. Improve its organization, fluency, and grammar.</li> <li>• The results section is inadequately written and needs to be rewritten for clarity and coherence.</li> <li>• Ensure the font is consistent throughout the manuscript.</li> <li>• The conclusion lacks structure and needs to be rewritten to enhance its clarity and impact.</li> <li>• The references are not formatted according to the journal's author guidelines. Update them accordingly.</li> </ul>	

**Editorial Comments (This section is reserved for the comments from journal editorial office and editors):**

### Review Form 3

	Author's Feedback
You are hereby suggested to include following recent references to improve the quality of the manuscript. Kumar N, Prakash S, Kumar B, Saikia D. Assessment of Fish Farmers' Socio-economic and Demographical Profile in Darbhanga District in Bihar. Curr. J. Appl. Sci. Technol. [Internet]. 2018 Dec. 8 [cited 2024 Dec. 31];31(2):1-5. Available from: <a href="https://journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/article/view/1825">https://journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/article/view/1825</a>	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Deepa Bhatt
Department, University & Country	India