

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_129731
Title of the Manuscript:	A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF NEURAL NETWORK AND WAVELET DECOMPOSITION MODELS FOR PRICE FORECASTING OF TOMATO
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Forecasting agricultural prices and commodities is a determinant factor in shaping the lives of farmers, consumers, and policymakers, especially after the war between Russia Ukraine that caused global supply chain disruptions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is clear	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	I believe that the methodology must be explained more detailed.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Additional references should be present in the paper. i.e 1.Kyriazi, F., Thomakos, D., \& Reztis, A., (2023). Subsidies, Land Size and Agricultural Output. Review of Economic Analysis, vol. 15, pp 161-183. 2. Kyriazi, F., Thomakos, D., \& Guerard, J., (2019). Adaptive learning forecasting, with applications in forecasting agricultural prices. International Journal of Forecasting, vol.35, pp.1356-1369. 3. On the forecastability of Agricultural Output (2024). Review of Economic Analysis, vol. 16, pp 443-467.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Improvements must be done.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Foteini Kyriazi
Department, University & Country	Agricultural University of Athens, Greece