

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_127194
Title of the Manuscript:	First report of Dioscorea bacilliform virus, a badnavirus infecting yam in Burkina Faso
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript have discovered a new virus of Yam tuber known as Dioscorea bacilliform virus which very new to Burkina Faso. The Research is indirectly telling authorities in Burkina Faso Agriculture to develop methods that will curb the spread of virus within the Country. The author was able to show the major symptoms of virus on Yam. However, he was unable to provide or recommend how to control/ manage this important plant virus.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes but he should provide key words	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes however the results and discussion section is scanty if possible he should expand it. Data collection should also be included.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The Manuscript is Scientifically robust and technically sound because it is contributing to knowledge by discovering a new virus of Yam ( Dioscorea bacilliform virus) which is previously not known in Burkina Faso. It has also highlighted the major symptoms of virus on infected Yam tuber. The structure of the Manuscript is also okay because it is in accordance with scientific writing.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.-	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mohammed Bukar Aji</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Modibbo Adama University, Nigeria</b>