

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_129012
Title of the Manuscript:	The Science of Bloom: Exploring the Photoperiod Responsive Flowering Pathway: A review
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Photoperiod responsive flowering pathway is a very important and interesting case study in molecular investigation in plant science and as Author(s) mentioned still completely unknown for scientists. Author(s) tries to clearly explain this area but it seems this paper couldn't investigate this issue in detail.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Fair	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Well written	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I suggest to include more detail information about this case study and bring some more investigation to clarify flowering pathway.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Fair	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Farshid Talat
Department, University & Country	AREEO, Iran