

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_128876
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrated Nutrient Management in Chickpea Crop Practices for Sustainable Production: A Review
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript on Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) in chickpea crop practices offers significant insights into sustainable production techniques, a critical need in modern agriculture. By addressing the efficient utilization of organic and inorganic nutrient sources, it contributes to enhancing soil health, reducing dependency on chemical fertilizers, and improving crop productivity. The findings hold substantial value for the scientific community, providing a foundation for further research on resource-efficient and eco-friendly practices. Additionally, it supports global efforts toward sustainable agriculture and food security, making it a valuable resource for researchers, policymakers, and practitioners.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct after adopting the recommended comments	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references sufficient and recent.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	The references and citations should be arranged according to journal guidelines PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kavita Solanki
Department, University & Country	Vikram University, India