

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_130001
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating the Performance of Pigeon pea under raised bed technology for Climate Resilient Agriculture in Vertisols of Vikarabad district in Telangana.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In this paper author(s) Evaluated the Performance of Pigeon pea under raised bed technology for Climate Resilient Agriculture in Vertisols of Vikarabad district in Telangana. Though the article is very brief and presents limited data and results, but it should be useful to some researchers. The authors should address some points and revise accordingly to improve the article.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title should be revised as "Evaluating the Performance of Pigeon pea under raised bed technology for Climate Resilient Agriculture".	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	There are many type of mistake errors in Abstract. It should be revised accordingly as suggested inside the paper. Language/writing quality should be also improved.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The data presented in the paper does not have a clear data analysis method. So, it is difficult to conclude the scientific correctness of the article. Contrary, experimental design is also elusive.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References should be added at some contents as suggested. The following updated references should be added- Chowhan, S., Islam, M., Rahman, M.M., Rana, M.S., Islam, M.A., & Syful Islam, S.(2022). Four Crops Pattern for Greater Economic Return and Productivity, Curr. Rese. Agri. Far. 3(1), 7-15. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2582-7146.164 Chowhan, S., Ghosh, S.R., Hoque, M.I.,Islam, M. and Nabi, K.M.E. (2021). Yield and Profitability Analysis of Pulse and Oil Seed Based Cropping Patterns against Aman-Boro-Fallow Cropping Systems in Magura. Agricultural Science Digest. 41(1): 42-48. https://doi.org/10.18805/ag.D-261 Chowhan, S., Rahman, M. M., Sultana, R., Abdur Rouf, M., & Islam, M. (2023). Contribution of agriculture sector in the GDP growth of Bangladesh. Food and Agri Economics Review, 3(2), 51-54. https://doi.org/10.26480/faer.02.2023.51.54	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	language/English quality is average, if possible it should be improved.	
Optional/General comments	Follow the reviewed article for specific comment(s). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Introduction should be modified at the end part as suggested. - Experimental design and methodology should be clearly mentioned in the Materials and methods part. - BCR calculation should be written in details format. <p>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sushan Chowhan
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh Institute of Nuclear Agriculture, Bangladesh