

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_129089
Title of the Manuscript:	Contribution to the study of melliferous plants in Casamance: Pollen spectrum of honeys with <i>Elaeis guineensis</i> dominant
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<p>1. The manuscript is well drafted and presented and data collected and presented is novel and appreciable. The manuscript certainly warrants the merit of being published with some modifications.</p> <p>2. Please undergo moderate revision of the manuscript for the language and syntax of the content provided, please avoid using very long sentences and please be observant about appropriate punctuation usage for better communication to the readers.</p> <p>3. If this is the first comprehensive study in question that needs to be clearly mentioned.</p> <p>4. Please reduce content of introduction and add these relevant points instead to the discussion. This section will need substantial modification in proper comparison of the results with respect to previous reports. Please do not make unnecessary repetitions if observations, highlight upon the most important ones and specify why your study is so unique.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saikat Kumar Basu
Department, University & Country	PFS, Canada