

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_129089
Title of the Manuscript:	Contribution to the study of melliferous plants in Casamance: Pollen spectrum of honeys with <i>Elaeis guineensis</i> dominant
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study highlights the floral composition of the region where these honeys were produced, providing valuable data for identifying their geographical origin. The findings support the development of pollen analysis standards for honey certification and underscore the ecological importance of melliferous plants in Casamance.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	"Pollen Analysis of Elaeis guineensis-Dominated Honeys in Lower Casamance: Floral Diversity and Honey Typology"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	See attachment file	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	See attachment file	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	See attachment file	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript is scientifically correct, but lacks a statistical analysis PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Demel Axel Adou
Department, University & Country	Alassane Ouattara University, Côte d'Ivoire