

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_129360
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF HYGIENE PRACTICES AND KNOWLEDGE OF FOOD SAFETY AMONG STREET FOOD VENDORS IN THE VOLTA REGION, GHANA
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study can show how to ensure nutritional food security. It will tell us about the necessary initiatives that can be taken to ensure the hygiene of street foods. It will place a policy to safeguard the health of the consumers of street foods. It will also assess the environmental characteristics of the street food practitioners.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Findings can be written more abstractly, and the methodology can be defined more specifically.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There are a few recent references. As there is no literature review in the article, the author(s) may need to look for more relevant articles if they accept the suggestion of including a literature review.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are a few grammatical mistakes including missing prepositions and the form of verbs.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment</u> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Soeb Md. Shoayeb Noman
Department, University & Country	Uttara University, Bangladesh