

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_129360
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF HYGIENE PRACTICES AND KNOWLEDGE OF FOOD SAFETY AMONG STREET FOOD VENDORS IN THE VOLTA REGION, GHANA
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is a scientifically acceptable topic. It needs to be supported by convincing scientific tools. It still traces a current problem in African cities	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Specify the sampling method and Quantify knowledge gaps. All the suggestions have been mentioned in the manuscript. Instead of simply stating "knowledge about using masks (33.9%) and gloves (41.7%) was limited," quantify the level of knowledge. For example, "Only 33.9% of vendors knew that wearing masks was crucial for preventing food contamination"	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Review some references	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No. To be improved. Verb tenses	
Optional/General comments	Integrate our suggestions. Improve the English. Structure the discussion and introduction well. Organize the results and tables well. Avoid repetitions. The article is long but does not contain enough information. Use the references mentioned in the introduction to better support your discussion section	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dieni Ibonye
Department, University & Country	Universite Joseph Ki-Zerbo, Burkina Faso