

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_128579
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Regional Temperature on Stunting
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript underscores the link between global warming and stunting in toddlers, highlighting the need for global cooperation and targeted interventions to address food security and public health, thereby protecting future generations.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title effectively captures the essence of the study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is comprehensive and effectively covers all key aspects of the study. There is no need to add or delete any points.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically partially correct, but there is a need for improvement in the methodological section. Specifically, it should include a variable description table and provide the equations of the OLS model to enhance clarity and justification. To enhance the methodology, it is recommended to include tests for multicollinearity, stationarity (if applicable), heteroscedasticity, and autocorrelation. Additionally, normality checks for residuals, model specification tests, and linearity verification should be conducted. These steps will ensure the robustness of the OLS model and improve the reliability of results.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments 1. Sources of Figures 2. Include Policy Implication and limitation	Figure 1. Stunting Rates by Country in 2000, Figure 2. Stunting Rates by Country in 2020, Figure 2. Stunting Rates by Country in 2020, and Figure-4 . please provide sources. please include the study's policy implications and limitations, as this will aid policymakers, regulators, and researchers in their future research endeavors. Furthermore, The value of like 0.1353509 after the decimal point appears overly precise, which may affect readability. To improve clarity and make the results more effective, it is recommended to round the values to two decimal places, such as 0.14, for both the coefficient and standard error.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sanjeet Singh
Department, University & Country	Central University of Himachal Pradesh, India