

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Agriculture</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_129027
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF FOLIAR APPLICATION OF GROWTH RETARDANTS ON REPRODUCTIVE EFFICIENCY OF GROUNDNUT ( <i>Arachishypogaea</i> L.) GENOTYPES
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it explores the impact of foliar application of growth retardants on the reproductive efficiency of groundnut genotypes. It provides valuable insights into optimizing groundnut yield, which is crucial for addressing food security challenges and enhancing crop productivity in tropical and sub-tropical regions. The study's findings can guide researchers and practitioners in adopting effective agricultural practices and furthering investigations into plant growth regulation.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Is fine</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	No	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Fine	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Author has done commendable work. The manuscript is well-organized and provides valuable insights into improving crop productivity, which is highly relevant for the agricultural scientific community.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Muhammad Rashid Nizamani</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Crop Sciences Research Institute, Agriculture Research Sindh, Pakistan</b>