

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_128347
Title of the Manuscript:	FAMILY INCOME AND MARRIAGE CELEBRATION COSTS IN KINONDONI DISTRICT IN DAR ES SALAAM REGION, TANZANIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Understanding this dynamic is essential for policymakers, sociologists, and economists as it provides insights into cultural practices, economic pressures, and social expectations that influence family financial decisions. The study contributes to the scientific community by shedding light on how traditional practices intersect with modern economic realities, a topic relevant to broader discussions on sustainable development and poverty alleviation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is relevant and reflects the study's focus. However, for clarity and scholarly appeal, it could be slightly rephrased:	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract appears to provide a general overview of the study. To enhance comprehensiveness, consider including: A brief mention of the research methodology. Specific findings or key statistics. Implications of the study for policymakers or other stakeholders	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript appears to be scientifically sound. However, ensuring that all claims are backed by appropriate data and references is crucial. Cross-verifying findings with other relevant studies would strengthen its validity.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is generally suitable for scholarly communication	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript lackles an important socio-economic issue in a specific cultural context, making it a valuable contribution to regional and global literature.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Naeem Shahzad
Department, University & Country	National college of business Administration and Economic Sub Campus Multan, Pakistan