

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_128347
Title of the Manuscript:	Family Income And Marriage Celebration Costs In Kinondoni District In Dar Es Salaam Region, Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This tittle is crucial and sensitive to the community and I wise the author could address it well to help members in the society	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Not comprehensive enough, the method and research design and all the methodology	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Fairly correct but the author is requested to follow the format of a template and the entire structure to fit the needs of the community	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Not sufficient and also out-dated references	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The author so improves on the English clarity	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Fairly good	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kidega Charles
Department, University & Country	Zhejiang Normal University, China