

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_128756
Title of the Manuscript:	In vitro Anti venom Effect of Catunaregam nilotica (STAPP) Root Extracts against Echis ocellatus Phospholipase A2 Activity
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript has significant contribution for the scientific community with its focus on treatment for envenomation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is apt and justifying the manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is quite relevant and needs no further inclusions.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes. The approach for the evaluation of proposed activity and methods followed were suitable and were of technical standards.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	All the references cited were relevant and adequate.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript submitted is worth publishing.	

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment</u> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Annapragada Pratyusha
Department, University & Country	Hindu College Of Pharmacy, India