

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_128912
Title of the Manuscript:	Weighted Random Effects Multinomial Model with Application to Anaemia and Malnutrition Comorbidity Among Under Five Children in Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Research paper

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**Jack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Thank you for the Editor teams invite me to review this paper.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	For the abstract, I hope that the author can simplified long sentences and reduced redundancy (e.g., combining "anemia and malnutrition" or using abbreviation like "A&M" when appropriate). Besides that, using format language and avoided conversational language and phrasing to enhance logical integrity Eg:"empowering mothers" replacement "educating mothers" and I would like to give suggestion, because I cannot found any implications in the abstract. Please add implications of the study in abstract	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	No, because it seems like just added 1 recent in 2024 for publication this journal.  Suggestion adds 2023 references and citations also. I found 1 special reference: is it follow APA style?  Gayawan, E.; Adarabioyo, M.I.; Okewole, D.M.; Fashoto, S.G.; Ukaegbu, J.C. Geographical variations in infant and child mortality in West Africa: A geo-additive discrete-time survival modelling. Genus 2016,	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, but some words remaining the space to improve. Need to proofread.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Overall, the authors did show the effort to make the paper. However, somehow it is quite lengthy, suggestion removed redundancy and combined related ideas for concise delivery. I also suggest dividing the conclusion into clear sections: contributions, key findings, methodological advantages, practical implications, and future recommendations.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>You Hui Wei</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>UNITAR International University, Malaysia</b>