

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_128619
Title of the Manuscript:	“Comparison of Some Optimizers in Long Short-Term Memory Networks with an Application to Tigris River Water Imports”
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research work is concentrated on Water data. The work flow describes the various optimizer ANNs for the comparative analysis rather than traditional RNNs. The developed architecture is focus on reducing the epochs which will help for reducing time complexity.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, appropriate title	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Its Comprehensive and describes the full work of the article	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, found correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References still can be added with recent one.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English is found good.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S.Rajalakshmi
Department, University & Country	Dr.N.G.P.Institute of Techology, India