

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_128962
Title of the Manuscript:	Field Evaluation of Different Botanicals against Powdery Mildew (<i>Oidium mangiferae</i> Berthet) of Mango (<i>Mangifera indica</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, the quality of the manuscript is good and important for the scientific community, and the literature review is ok	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I would like to suggest this title "Evaluation of Botanicals against Powdery Mildew (<i>Oidium mangiferae</i> Berthet) of Mango (<i>Mangifera indica</i> L.)"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it pinpoints the key elements like its objectives and conclusions	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it was ok	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, it needs some more references, references are not sufficient	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language quality is ok	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lellapalli Rithesh
Department, University & Country	Kerala Agricultural University, India