

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_129798
Title of the Manuscript:	Construction technology and quality control of reinforced concrete beam and column joints
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Beam-column joint BCJ role in a building is to connect its components together and enable these component to reach their ultimate resistance. BCJ stiffness, strength and ductility are key characteristics needed to guarantee efficient building behaviour under the action of different loads. Columns and beams are two fundamental structural elements that are essential to stability. They support the weight of the building and create a safe load path, transferring the weight and forces applied to the structure of the building to the foundation and the ground.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Its not suitable because there is not any new technology and novelty. New title: Over review of Construction technology and quality control of reinforced concrete beam and column joints	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract need to describe in brief any new idea and technical in manuscript	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript scientifically is correct but need some figures and more detail .	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I think the references are sufficient and recent	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	-Need more detail and description and some figures	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Kh
Department, University & Country	Nera East University, Iraq