

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_129692
Title of the Manuscript:	Resistance profile of Escherichia coli and Salmonella sp. strains of isolated from raw cow milk sold in the Vina Department in Cameroon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Antibiotic resistance in food-borne strains is becoming a global phenomenon. This work is very important for the scientific community because it provides information on the health status of cow's milk consumed raw and the resistance of pathogenic germs to antimicrobials commonly used in human and animal therapy.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of a scientific article must include the following parts 1. Introduction (1 to 2 lines) 2. Objective 3. Methodology including sampling 4. Results 5. Conclusion (1 to 2 lines) In this abstract, the objective is very long and uncomprehensive. The part Place and Duration of Study must be associated with the methodology	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are old. Studies have been carried out on the resistance of food-borne isolates in the world and mainly in Côte d'Ivoire. They must be reviewed	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	This is a very good scientific work well conducted however SS agar is suitable for the search for <i>Salmonella</i> in biological fluids. Hektoen is designed for samples of food origin. However this does not detract from the originality of the work The work is well done and is topical, especially since milk is a food much appreciated by the population and especially children.	

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

<u>Name:</u>	<u>Kouassi Kra Athanase</u>
<u>Department, University & Country</u>	<u>Jean Lorougnon Guede University, Côte d'Ivoire</u>