

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_129692
Title of the Manuscript:	Resistance profile of Escherichia coli and Salmonella sp. strains of isolated from raw cow milk sold in the Vina Department in Cameroon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It gives an insight on the impact of excessive use of antibiotics in cows and the resultant effect on antimicrobial resistance. The author(s) used milk from the cows to isolate indigenous microbes from the cows and using various scientific procedures they were able to demonstrate that these microbes were resistant to most standard commercial antibiotics. Invariably when these microbes gets into the environment through the faeces from the cows, the cycle of antimicrobial resistance is increased in the environment and eventually gets to humans when they consume meat from these cows, worsening the incidence of antimicrobial resistance.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient but about 50% of the reference is more than 10 years to this period.	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. In the figure 2 and 3 some texts are still in French	
Optional/General comments	There is no Figure 1 in the content. It may be useful to include a standard chart used to arrive at the resistant and sensitive results	

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hammed Bukola Aishat
Department, University & Country	Kwara State University, Nigeria