

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International STD Research &amp; Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_129659
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Blood-Borne Infections Among College Students of a Nigerian University
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Routine screening procedure of students Different sections of students can be involved	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	IT WILL BE MORE APT IF IT INCLUDES STDS RATHER THAN BLOOD BORNE INFECTIONS	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	BLOOD BORNE INFECTIONS MEANS ,PATHOGENIC AGENTS OF PARASITES AND FUNGI SHOULD ALSO BE ADDED SAMPLE SIZE SHOULD BE INCREASED	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	SHOULD BE REVISED PROPERLY	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	RECENT REFERENCES SHOULD BE MORE WITHIN 5 YEARS	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	AVERAGE	
Optional/General comments	NIL	

#### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	G V Padmaja
Department, University & Country	Osmania Medical College, India