

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Research Journal of Mathematics |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ARJOM_129621 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Dynamic Analysis of an Exponentially Decaying Foundation on the Response of Non-Uniform Damped Rayleigh Beam under Harmonic Moving Load with General Boundary Conditions |
| Type of the Article | |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--------------------|--|
| | | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | | |

Review Form 3

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | | |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | | |
| Optional/General comments | <p>The topic chosen by the authors is more interesting and realistic. The outcome of the research work is much helpful to the new researchers. Even though the authors are asked to clarify/include the following.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Detailed description about Figure 1 to Figure 38 in result and discussion. 2. Abstract and Conclusion should be more elaborate. 3. More number of latest references should be added to enrich the quality of paper. <p>Decision: Accepted with above corrections.</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | S.Mathu Kumar |
| Department, University & Country | Ponjesly College of Engineering, India |