

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_129579
Title of the Manuscript:	Mathematical Modelling of Wastewater Treatment
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article has nothing new to offer to the scientific community. No research gap was addressed in this study. The objective of the study was not clearly stated. The review simply lists the various studies of mathematical model with critiquing them. Hence, the article was poorly written.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is not suitable. No mathematical modeling was studied in the article. Hence, an alternative title is: Review of modeling methods in wastewater treatment.	

Review Form 3

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive based on content of the manuscript	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	A more comprehensive review is needed in the manuscript concerning the mathematical models used for wastewater treatment. it lacks (i) Coherent writing (ii) Use of precise vocabulary (iii) Logical conclusion. Hence it is not scientifically sound	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and greater percentage is up-to-date. However the same font should be used in all. A particular reference was written in uppercase while the rest is in lower case.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The following observations were made: (i) There is lack of clarity, marked with unclear statement (ii) Grammar error should be checked	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The objectives and significance of the research were not described. Plagiarism is not suspected	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nwajuaku Ijeoma Immaculata
Department, University & Country	Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria