

## Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_129632
Title of the Manuscript:	Resources Use Efficiency and Resources Utilization Efficiency of Major Crops in North Gujarat
Type of the Article	

### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

## Review Form 3

<p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>My Comments are mentioned below:</p> <p>The manuscript provides an in-depth analysis of resource use efficiency and utilization efficiency for key crops in North Gujarat, employing robust methodologies such as the Cobb-Douglas production function and MVP/MFC ratio. The study is relevant and well-structured, with clear data presentation and statistical rigor. However, there are areas for improvement to enhance clarity and impact. The abstract is dense and could benefit from a more concise presentation of key findings and implications. The introduction should focus on the significance and objectives of the study without redundancy. While the methodology is detailed, a stronger justification for the selected districts would improve contextual relevance. The discussion section needs greater depth, particularly in linking findings to agricultural policies and practical recommendations for farmers or policymakers. Language refinement is required throughout the manuscript to correct grammatical issues and improve readability. Lastly, the conclusion should emphasize practical implications, address limitations, and suggest directions for future research. With these refinements, the manuscript has strong potential for acceptance.</p>	

### **PART 2:**

	<p><b><u>Reviewer's comment</u></b></p>	<p><b><u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u></b></p>
<p><b><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></b></p>	<p><b><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></b></p>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

<p><b><u>Name:</u></b></p>	<p><b><u>Abaid Ur Rehman Nasir</u></b></p>
<p><b><u>Department, University &amp; Country</u></b></p>	<p><b><u>University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan</u></b></p>