

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_129811
Title of the Manuscript:	ChatGPT and the Possibility of Reinventing the Education Ecosystem
Type of the Article	Short communication

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>This article makes a relatively large contribution to understanding the role of artificial intelligence in education, with a special emphasis on the potential of ChatGPT. It highlights the transformative impact of AI technology on autonomous and individual learning, the professional development of teachers, and the entire education system. The paper discusses the benefits and challenges of successfully integrating artificial intelligence into education, and the manuscript serves as a key resource for educators, researchers, and policymakers. Its exploration of the impact of AI on creativity, critical thinking, and professional competencies provides guidance for a successful synergy of technology and pedagogy.</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Revolutionizing the Education Ecosystem with ChatGPT	

**Review Form 3**

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract of the article introduces the main topic of the impact of ChatGPT on the educational ecosystem and briefly describes its potential benefits and challenges. While it is a good basis, it could be more comprehensive by providing a clearer overview of the key arguments and conclusions presented in the manuscript.</p> <p><b>Suggestions for improvement:</b>  <b>Refine the scope:</b>          The abstract mentions general topics such as “recognizing the essence of ChatGPT” - examples of how ChatGPT affects some of the segments should be added.</p> <p>The roles of ChatGPT in fostering creativity, and the implications for teacher professional development should be briefly mentioned.</p> <p>In addition to listing the polarized (and negative) views on ChatGPT, the advantages and risks should be listed.</p> <p><b>Be sure to include a section of text on how the conclusions can be applicable to educators.</b></p>	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>References in the manuscript appear relevant and cover various aspects of ChatGPT’s potential impact on education. Most of the references are recent (2023), which is in line with the rapid development of technologies like ChatGPT. However, the list of references could be enriched with additional sources that would provide a wider and more varied overview of the topic.</p>	
<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality of the article is generally satisfactory and clearly conveys the main message. However, the text could be further improved by hiring a professional proofreader or using advanced language checking tools (e.g. Grammarly or Quillbot) to fine-tune the text.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>-</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><u>Reviewer’s comment</u></p>	<p><u>Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u></p>
<p><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p><b>Name:</b></p>	<p><b>Krunoslav Bedi</b></p>
<p><b>Department, University &amp; Country</b></p>	<p><b>Croatia</b></p>