

“The impact of foliar application of Nano-fertilizers on the productivity and health of the soil in rice transplants (*Oryza sativa* L.)”

Comment [C1]: Remove inverted comma

ABSTRACT:

During the 2019–20 Kharif season, a field experiment was conducted at the Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture & Technology's Main Experimental Station in Kumarganj, Ayodhya (U.P.). Six treatments were used in the experiment. T₀ is the control, T₁ is 100% NPK & 100% Zinc application (RDF), T₂ is 50% N; 100% P & K + 2 spray of Nano Nitrogen, T₃ is 0% Zn, 100%NPK+2 spray of Nano Zinc, T₄ is 50% N & 0% Zn; 100% P & K + 2 spray of Nano N mixed with Nano Zn, and T₅ is 50% N & 0% Zn; 100% P & K + 2spray of Nano N mixed with Nano Zn & Nano Cu in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with 4 replications. The results of the experiments indicated that T₅: 50% N and 0% Zn; 100% P & K + 2 sprays of Nano N combined with Nano Zn & Nano Cu produced the highest grain production. This yield was comparable to T₄: 50% N & 0% Zn; 100% P & K + 2 spray of Nano N mixed with Nano Zn and T₃: 0% Zn, 100% NPK + 2 spray of Nano Zn, T₅: 50% N and 0% Zn; 100% P & K + 2 spray of Nano N mixed with Nano Zn & Nano Cu yielded the greatest gross return (Rs. 119841ha-1), net return (Rs. 64711ha-1), and benefit-cost ratio (2.17) as well. In central Uttar Pradesh, the maximum concentrations of nitrogen (%), phosphorus (%), potassium (%), copper (%), and zinc (ppm) were found in grain and straw under T₅: 50% N & 0% Zn; 100% P & K + 2 sprays of Nano N mixed with Nano Zn & Nano Cu.

Comment [C2]: The abstract starts by stating the title of the investigation but does not explicitly mention the primary objective of the study. It is crucial to briefly state the research question or objective to provide the reader with a clear understanding of the purpose of the study.

Comment [C3]: The abstract provided for the article outlines the basic structure of the study including its design, methodology, and a brief overview of the results. However, for it to be comprehensive and fully serve its purpose, there are several points that require addition or refinement

Comment [C4]: Remove it and add in sentence

Keywords: Nano-fertilizer, Foliar application, Soil health, Productivity, Economics.

INTRODUCTION:

Arguably one of the world's most important field crops, rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is a staple diet for millions of people and is ranked second only to wheat. For approximately half of Asia's population, it is critical to supply calories. 60% of the world's population lives in Asia, where rice accounts for over 90% of worldwide production and consumption. As such, rice is important for diet and culture. First and primary, rice is grown on 43.79 million hectares of land in India, where it produces 112.91 million tonnes of total output with an average productivity of 2578 kg/ha (Singh et al., 2020). In the 2019-20 timeframe, worldwide rice output reached roughly 493.79 million metric tons. In May 2020, the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) projected that global rice production for 2020–2021 would be 501.96 million metric tonnes, up from 493.79 million tonnes the year before. This 8.17 million tonnes predicted increase, or 1.65%, may indicate an increase in rice production worldwide. 90% of the world's yields of rice are produced and consumed in monsoonal Asia, making it a vital cereal crop mostly consumed in Asian countries. Because rice is so adaptable to different temperatures and extreme water conditions, it is a staple food in this region. India is the world's second-largest producer of rice, after China. Prior to this, 495.9 million tonnes of rice were produced worldwide in 2018–19. According to estimates, India will produce a record 117.47 million tonnes of rice in 2019–20, a significant rise of 9.67 million tonnes over the previous five-year average of 107.80 million tonnes [1]. By releasing nutrients when needed, the characteristics of nano-fertilizers or nano-

Comment [C5]: In Introduction add more references

Comment [C6]: space

encapsulated nutrients may be advantageous to crops. In order to control plant growth and improve target activity, they might also provide a regulated delivery of chemical fertilisers [2]. It has been observed that applying nanomaterials as fertiliser works well. According to Raliya and Tarafdar (2013), the most prominent application of nano-fertilizers in crop production is a very creative and successful way to increase agricultural output [9]. Nano-fertilizers offer a new method of releasing nutrients into the soil gradually and under control as an alternative to traditional fertiliser applications, reducing eutrophication and water pollution [7]. The judicious application of nano-fertilizers in agricultural techniques enhances crop quality and increases soil compound efficacy, hence diminishing the requirement for chemical fertilisers. By responding to biological demands and environmental conditions, nano-structured fertilisers are made to increase nutrient efficiency through targeted delivery and controlled release. Research indicates that the use of nano-fertilizers in agricultural production only needs a little amount per acre, which makes this technique safe for the environment and economically viable for all living things [12].

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

The research was carried out in the kharif season of 2019-20 at the Main Experimental Station of Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture & Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya (U.P.) alluvial soil. A sandy loam with slight calcareous properties, the soil in the experimental plot had a pH of 7.7, electrical conductivity of 0.36 dS m⁻¹, permanent wilting point of 6.3%, field capacity of 18.4%, maximum water holding capacity of 29.5%, bulk density of 1.46 Mg m⁻³, particle density of 2.56 Mg m⁻³, and porosity of 42.9%. It also contained 16.3 kg/ha of available P₂O₅ and 154.7 kg/ha of available K₂O. The experiment was conducted using a randomized block design with 4 replications, including different treatments. The fertilizers utilized were Urea, DAP, Muriate of Potash (MOP), Zn, and Nano nitrogen. While the amount of rainfall received during the crop period was 69.2 mm, compared to an average annual rainfall of approximately 800 mm, the accessible moisture at sowing time up to 100 cm soil profile was 282.5 mm. Suggested practice bundles were implemented in various interventions. To assess the soil moisture content and growth parameters, samples were taken at regular monthly intervals from soil depths of 0–25, 25–50, 50–75, and 75–100 cm using a gravimetric method. Three plants were chosen at random for each plot until the harvest. A statistical analysis was performed on the yield and growth attribute data [3]. Different treatments apply recommended procedures and fertiliser dosages.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION:

1. Yield and Economics:

- The highest grain yield was achieved with T₅, which consisted of 50% N and 0% Zn, 100% P and K, and two sprays of Nano N mixed with Nano Zn and Nano Cu. This was comparable to the yield from T₄, which had the same composition as T₅, and T₃, which had 0% Zn, 100% NPK, and two sprays of Nano Zinc. The lowest grain yield was observed in T₀ (Control). Similar findings were reported [4, 13].
- Straw yield and biological yield were recorded as highest T₅: 50 % N & 0% Zn; 100 %

Comment [C7]: To improve the clarity and organization of the Material and Methods section, I recommend adding specific subsections such as "Experimental Site and Design," "Treatments," and "Data Collection and Analysis." These subsections will help structure the content more effectively and allow readers to follow the experimental procedures more easily.

Comment [C8]: Write properly

Comment [C9]: Write properly k₂o

Comment [C10]: Add sub title

Comment [C11]: Pl explain result and discussion separate sub title grain yield ,straw yield ,biological yield ,straw yield B:C ratio etc.

... [1]

Comment [C12]: To improve the clarity and organization of the result and discussion section, I recommend adding specific heading

Comment [C13]: 1.While the results are well-organized into subsections, I recommend integrating the findings across these sections. This integration will allow for a deeper analysis of how these variables interrelate and contribute to the overall findings of the study. If possible, I suggest elaborating further on these connections to provide stronger justification for your conclusions and to offer a more comprehensive understanding of the impact of the treatments.

Comment [C14]: I recommend that the discussion section be expanded to more thoroughly analyze the results found in this study. It would be beneficial to compare these findings with those of other relevant studies and to provide justification for the observed outcomes based on established research. This will not only strengthen the discussion but also position your results within the broader context of the field.

P&K+2sprayofNanoNmixedwith Nano Zn& Nano Cu which was significant with treatment T₄: 50% N & 0 % Zn; 100% P & K + 2 spray of Nano N mixed with Nano Zn and T₃: 0 % Zn, 100% NPK + 2 spray of Nano Zinc. The lowest straw yield and biological yield were registered under T₀ (Control). Reported similar results [6, 13].

Comment [C15]: Write name

- The highest harvest index was recorded (%) with T₅: 50%N&0%Zn;100 %P &K + 2 sprays of Nano N mixed with Nano Zn & Nano Cu which was followed by (%) with T₄: 50% N & 0 % Zn; 100% P & K + 2 spray of Nano N mixed with Nano Zn. Similar results were ported [8].
- The highest gross return (Rs 119841 ha⁻¹), net return (Rs. 64711 ha⁻¹), and benefit-cost ratio (2.17) as well were obtained T₅: 50 % N & 0% Zn; 100% P & K + 2 spray of Nano N mixed with Nano Zn & Nano Cu highest for rice crop. Reported similar results [11].

Comment [C16]: Write name like Sangra *et.al* 2018

Comment [C17]: Make separate title

2. **Qualities:**

- The nitrogen (%), phosphorus (%), potassium (%), copper (%) and zinc(ppm) content ingrain & straw are highest in grain & straw content was recorded under T₅: 50 % N &0% Zn; 100% P & K + 2 spray of Nano N mixed with Nano Zn & Nano Cu which was followed by treatment T₄: 50% N & 0 % Zn; 100% P & K + 2 spray of Nano N mixed with Nano Zn and lowest nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, copper and zinc content in grain & straw in T₀ (Control) during investigation. A similar result was reported by [10].

Comment [C18]: Write name

- Among the nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, copper, and zinc uptake in grain & straw (kg ha⁻¹) are highest in grain & straw uptake (kg ha⁻¹) was recorded under T₅: 50 % N& 0% Zn; 100% P & K + 2 spray of Nano N mixed with Nano Zn & Nano Cu which was followed by treatment T₄: 50% N & 0 % Zn; 100% P & K + 2 spray of Nano N mixed with Nano Zn and lowest nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, copper and zinc uptake in grain & straw (kg ha⁻¹) in T₀ (Control). A similar result was reported [5].

Comment [C19]: Write name

Comment [C20]: The abstract provides a general statement about the findings, indicating that priming with a combination of micronutrients and RDF was superior. However, it lacks specific data or a quantitative summary that highlights the magnitude of the effect or its significance. Adding a sentence that includes key statistical findings or specific data points would make the results more tangible to the reader.

CONCLUSION

The combination of T₅: 50% N and 0% Zn; 100% P and K + 2 sprays of nano N combined with nano Zn and nano Cu—produced the maximum grain yield. Similar to T₄: 50% N&0% Zn; 100% P&K + 2 spray of Nano N mixed with Nano Zn and T₃: 0% Zn, 100% NPK + 2 spray of Nano Zinc, this yield was also achieved. T₅:50%N&0%Zn; 100%P&K + 2 spray of Nano N mixed with Nano Zn & Cub allowed for the maximum gross return (Rs. 119841ha⁻¹), net return (Rs. 64711ha⁻¹), and benefit-cost ratio (Rs. 2.17), respectively. The grain and straw under treatment T₅ had the highest percentages of nitrogen (%), phosphorus (%), potassium (%), copper (%), and zinc (ppm): 50% N & 0% Zn; 100% P & K + 2 sprays of Nano N mixed with Nano Zn & Nano Cu.

Comment [C21]: A brief sentence summarizing the main conclusion and its potential implications for agricultural practices or future research would provide a more rounded and informative

REFERENCES:

1. Anonymous, 2020. Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation Agricultural statistics, *India*.
2. DeRosa, M.C., Monreal, C., Schnitzer, M., Walsh, R., Sultan, Y.2010.Nanotechnology in fertilizers. *N at Nanotechnology*, **5**(2): 91.
3. Fichers, R.A. and Yates, Y.E.1958.Report on coordination of fisher's statistics in India. A

Comment [C22]: To strengthen the manuscript, I recommend incorporating more recent primary literature sources, particularly from the last five years (2019 onwards). This will ensure that the discussion is informed by the most current research and that the study is positioned within the context of the latest advancements in the field.

Handbook of Agricultural statistics. 17:47.

4. Hemlatha, K. Ramana, A.V., Bisen, N. and Rani, M. 2020. Effect of Weed Management Practices on Yield and Economics of Semi Dry Rice. *Journal of Pure and Applied Microbiology*, **11**(2):973-980.
5. Javed, B., Srinivas, A., Kumar, R.M., Ramprakash, T., Prasad, T.N.V.K.V., Kumar, K.A., Reddy, S.N. And Didal, V.K. 2019. Influence of zinc oxide nanoparticles foliar application on zinc uptake of rice under different establishment methods. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, **7**(1): 257-261.
6. Lemraski, M.G., Normohamadi, G., Madani, H., Abad, H.H.S. and Mobasser, H.R. 2017. Two Iranian Rice Cultivars' Response to Nitrogen and Nano- Fertilizer. *Open Journal of Ecology*, **7**:591-603.
7. Naderi, M. R., Abedi, A. 2012. Application of nanotechnology in agriculture and refinement of environmental pollutants. *Nanotechnol*, **11**(1): 18-26.
8. Nivetha, C., Srinivasan, G. and Shanmugam, P.M. 2017. Effect of Weed Management Practices on Growth and Economics of Transplanted Rice under Sodic Soil. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, **6**(12):1909-1915.
9. Raliya, R. and Tarafdar, J.C. 2013. ZnO nano particle bio synthesis and its effect on phosphorous mobilizing enzyme secretion and gum contents in Cluster bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.). *Agricultural Research*. **2**(1): 48-57.
10. Rizwan, M., Ali, S., Rehman, M.Z., Riaz, M., Adrees, M., Hussain, A., Zahir, Z.A, and Rinklebe, J. 2021. Effects of nanoparticles on trace element uptake and toxicity in plants: A review. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, **221**:112437.
11. Sangra, R., Ninama, A., Singh, A.P., Devendra and Mahajan, R. 2018. Evaluation of different weed management practice on growth and yield attributing characters of rice under direct seeded rice system. *Journal of Pharma cognosy and Phytochemistry*, SP1:454-458.
12. Sekhon, B. S. 2014. Nanotechnology in Agri-food production: an overview. *Nano technology Science and Applications*, **7**:31-53.
13. Singh, V., Singh, V. and Singh, S. 2020. Effect of Zinc and Silicon on Growth and Yield of Aromatic Rice (*Oryza Sativa* L.) in North-Western Plains of India. *J. Rice Res.Dev.*, **3**(1): 82-86.




Fig. 01(A) Impact of foliar application of Nano-fertilizers on Grain yield, Straw yield, Biological yield (q ha-1) Harvest index (%) of different treatments in rice crop.




Fig.01 (B) Impact of foliar application of Nano-fertilizers on Economics of different treatments in rice crop.

Figure-2: Impact of foliar application of Nano-fertilizers on N (%), P (%), K (%), Cu (%) and Zn content (ppm) of different treatments in rice crop.




Fig. 02 Impact of foliar application of Nano-fertilizers on N (%), P (%), K (%), Cu (%) and Zn content (ppm) of different treatments in rice crop.

Figure 3: Impact of foliar application of Nano-fertilizers on uptake of N (Kg ha⁻¹), P (Kg ha⁻¹), K (Kg ha⁻¹), Cu (Kg ha⁻¹) and Zn content (g ha⁻¹) different treatments in rice crop.





Fig. 03 Impact of foliar application of Nano-fertilizers on uptake of N (Kg ha⁻¹), P (Kg ha⁻¹), K (Kg ha⁻¹), Cu (Kg ha⁻¹) and Zn content (g ha⁻¹) different treatments in rice crop.

UNDER PEER REVIEW



Treatments	Grain yield (q ha ⁻¹)	Straw yield (q ha ⁻¹)	Biological yield (q ha ⁻¹)	Harvest index (%)	Total cost of cultivation (Rs ha ⁻¹)	Gross return (Rs ha ⁻¹)	Net return (Rs ha ⁻¹)	B:C ratio
T₀ :Control	38.94	43.78	82.72	47.07	49780	65010	15230	1.30
T₁ :100% NPK &100% Zinc application (RDF)	49.95	55.31	105.26	47.45	50680	74967	24287	1.47
T₂ :50% N;100% P&K+2 spray of Nano Nitrogen	50.12	57.87	107.99	46.41	51780	98205	46425	1.89
T₃ :0% Zn,100% NPK+2 spray of Nano Zinc	51.28	59.07	110.35	46.47	51998	97850	45852	1.13
T₄ :50% N&0% Zn;100% P&K+2 sprays of Nano N mixed with Nano Zn	56.76	60.43	117.19	48.43	53780	112098	58318	1.08
T₅ :50%N&0%Zn;100% P & K + 2 sprays of Nano N mixed with Nano Zn &Nano Cu	57.82	61.12	118.94	48.61	55130	119841	64711	2.17
SEm±	1.34	1.54	2.15	1.98	-	-	-	-
CD(P=0.05)	3.76	2.09	4.43	3.90	-	-	-	-

Table 1: Impact of foliar application of Nano-fertilizers on Grain yield, Straw yield, Biological yield ($q\ ha^{-1}$) Harvest index (%), and Economics of different treatments in rice crop.

Comment [C23]: Writ title above the table

Treatments	N (%)		P (%)		K (%)		Cu (%)		Zn (ppm)	
	Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw
T ₀ :Control	1.89	0.42	0.26	0.10	0.10	1.70	0.11	0.10	13	12.0
T ₁ :100%NPK& 100% Zinc application (RDF)	1.91	0.44	0.27	0.11	0.12	1.72	0.12	0.12	14	13.0
T ₂ : 50% N;100% P&K+2spray Of Nano Nitrogen	1.93	0.45	0.27	0.13	0.13	1.74	0.13	0.13	15	13.5
T ₃ :0% Zn,100% NPK+2 spray Of Nano Zinc	1.94	0.45	0.28	0.14	0.14	1.76	0.14	0.14	16	14.0
T ₄ : 50% N & 0% Zn;100%P&K+ 2 sprays of Nano N mixed with Nano Zn	1.96	0.47	0.29	0.15	0.15	1.78	0.15	0.15	16	15.0
T ₅ : 50% N & 0% Zn;100 P&K + 2 sprays of Nano N mixed	1.95	0.48	0.30	0.16	0.16	1.80	0.17	0.16	17	17.0

with Nano Zn & Nano Cu										
SEm±	0.32	0.38	0.046	0.35	0.031	0.22	0.025	0.07	1.25	1.18
CD(P=0.05)	NS	0.17	0.103	0.16	0.072	0.10	0.67	0.03	2.67	2.42

Table 2: Impact of foliar application of Nano-fertilizers on N (%), P (%), K (%), Cu (%), and Zn content (ppm) of different treatments in rice crop.

Treatments	N(Kg ha ⁻¹)		P(Kg ha ⁻¹)		K(Kg ha ⁻¹)		Cu(Kg ha ⁻¹)		Zn(g ha ⁻¹)	
	Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw
T₀ :Control	61.51	18.05	3.25	4.29	8.46	73.06	3.58	4.29	42.32	55.77
T₁ :100% NPK & 100% Zinc application (RDF)	74.37	19.26	4.67	4.81	10.51	75.30	4.67	5.25	54.51	61.29
T₂ :50% N;100% P&K+ 2spray of Nano Nitrogen	83.83	22.07	5.65	6.37	11.72	85.36	5.65	6.37	65.16	73.59
T₃ :0% Zn, 100% NPK + 2spray of Nano Zinc	96.32	24.12	6.95	7.50	13.90	94.33	6.95	7.50	79.44	85.76
T₄ :50% N & 0% Zn; 100% P&K +2sprays of Nano N mixed with Nano Zn	97.10	27.16	8.20	8.86	14.38	104.14	7.20	9.25	82.04	94.51
T₅ :50% N& 0% Zn;100% P&K+2sprays of Nano N mixed with Nano Zn & Nano Cu	97.73	27.27	8.51	9.25	15.53	105.16	8.51	9.45	85.20	98.37

Comment [C24]: Write properly settings of each treatment

SEm±	1.09	1.32	1.02	1.00	1.12	1.69	0.58	0.63	1.71	1.83
CD(P=0.05)	2.24	1.70	2.14	2.32	2.29	3.56	1.24	1.29	3.98	3.90

Table 3: Impact of foliar application of Nano-fertilizers on uptake of N (Kg ha⁻¹), P (Kg ha⁻¹), K (Kg ha⁻¹), Cu (Kg ha⁻¹) and Zn content (g ha⁻¹) different treatments in rice crop.

UNDER PEER REVIEW