

Short Research Article

EFFECT OF RICE HUSK MULCHING ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF CHICKPEA (*Cicer arietinum* L.)

ABSTRACT

During the *Rabi* season of 2022–2023, a field experiment entitled "Effect of rice husk mulching on the development and productivity of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.)" was conducted at the Pandit Deen Dayal Upadhyay Institute of Agricultural Sciences, located at Utlou, Bishnupur District, Manipur, in an attempt to ascertain the effects of mulching on seedling emergence and the effects of varying degrees of rice husk mulching on chickpea yield. There are 12 treatments i.e., T₁ – Control (no rice husk mulch), T₂– 10q /ha, T₃– 15q /ha, T₄– 20q/ha, T₅– 25q/ha, T₆–30q/ha, T₇–35q/ha, T₈– 40q/ha, T₉– 45q/ha, T₁₀ – 50q/ha, T₁₁ with 55 q/ha and T₁₂ with 60 q/ha of rice husk mulching replicated thrice in a Randomized Block Design (RBD). The result shows that mulching with 60q/ha of rice husk i.e., treatment T₁₂ had significant effect on crop's germination percentage. Additionally, the results indicate that the treatment T₁₂– 60q/ha of rice husk mulching had significantly highest growth and grain yield (17.10 q/ha) as compared to other treatments. Thus, from the experiment it can be concluded that the application of rice husk (60q/ha) mulching is more favorable for attaining sustainable higher profits and productivity in the cultivation of chickpea during *Rabi* season of Manipur.

Keywords: (Chickpea, mulching, germination, growth, yield)

1. INTRODUCTION

Pulses, the grain seed of legumes are an important group of crops in India. The world's biggest producer of pulses is India. About 7–10% of India's 315.72 million tons of food grains produced in 2020–2021 were from pulses (Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Government of India, 2022). In Manipur the total production of chickpea was 1.8 m t⁻¹ and under area 0.7 m ha⁻¹. In 2014–2015, the chickpea yield was 838 kg/ha (Anonymous, 2016). Pulse crop production improves soil health, encourages sustainable agriculture, while utilizing water efficiently. According to estimates, 80% among the biologically fixed nitrogen utilized in agriculture is fixed by leguminous agricultural plants and the microorganisms that inhabit their root nodules have a symbiotic connection. (Burns and Hardy, 1975).

17 The chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.), sometimes referred to as bengal gram or garbanzo
18 beans, is a winter crop that belongs to the Papilionaceae subfamily of the Leguminosae
19 family. The origin of the chickpea has been identified to southeast Turkey. In addition to a
20 secondary center that origin in Ethiopia, four centers of diversity emerged across the Arabian
21 Peninsula, the eastern Mediterranean, Central Asia, & India (Vavilov, 1951). Desi, also
22 called brown gram (*Cicer arietinum* L.), and Kabuli, sometimes called white gram
23 (*Cicerkabulinum*), are the two types of Indian gram. Among them, desi gram is most widely
24 grown. In addition to the impact of the crop's growth conditions, the application of mulch
25 plays a critical role in optimizing germination by conserving soil moisture, suppressing weed
26 infestation, enhances soil quality, and support plant growth. The word mulch originates from
27 a German word "molsch," which means "soft to decay". Mulch is the term used to describe
28 the spread that gardeners make over the ground using straw and leaves (Jacks *et al.*, 1955).
29 It has several applications in agriculture. The main goals of mulch, especially in dry and
30 semi-arid areas, are water saving and erosion control. The mulching practice has been used
31 as a management tool in the world wide. It provides more favourable environment for plant
32 growth and development, and also efficient crop production (Sathiyae *et al.*, 2020). Other uses
33 for mulching include adjusting soil temperature, controlling weeds, conserving soil, and
34 adding nutrients for plants after decomposition of organic mulch, improve soil structure,
35 increases crop quality and yield. In this present experiment, rice husk is used as the
36 mulching material which is cheaper and easily available in Manipur. In India, many
37 researchers had researched on chickpea on better agronomic methods and nutrient
38 management. However, mulching in chickpeas has not been thoroughly studied or
39 investigated. Consequently, in light of this, the current experiment entitled "Effect of rice husk
40 mulching on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.)" was undertaken.

41 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

42
43 The field experiment was conducted at the experimental site of the Pandit Deen Dayal
44 Upadhyay Institute of Agricultural Sciences situated in Utlou, Bishnupur District, Manipur,
45 during the *Rabi* season of 2022–2023 which is at 24°43'23"N latitude & 93°51'33"E longitude
46 and situated near the elevation of 790 meters above mean sea level (MSL). The soil of the
47 experimental site had a pH of 5.2, was clayey, medium fertility. The soil's chemical
48 composition revealed that it had high organic carbon content (1.04%), medium levels of
49 phosphorus (254 kg ha⁻¹) as well as potassium (38.47 kg ha⁻¹) and moderate available
50 nitrogen (296.81 kg ha⁻¹). During the period of experimentation, the monthly maximum and
51 minimum temperature were between 23°C to 30.6°C and 5.5°C to 19°C, respectively.
52 The surroundings were somewhat conducive to the formation and growth of chickpea with
53 total rainfall of 200.7 mm during the cropping period. There are twelve treatments and three
54 replications laid out in the Randomized Block Design (RBD). The treatments were: T₁
55 (control, no rice husk mulch), T₂ (10q/ha), T₃ (15q/ha), T₄ (20q/ha), T₅ (25q/ha), T₆ (30q/ha),
56 T₇ (35q/ha), T₈ (40q/ha), T₉ (45q/ha), T₁₀ (50q/ha), T₁₁ (55q/ha), and T₁₂ (60q/ha) mulching
57 with rice husk respectively. A consistent dosage of 25 kg N per hectare (urea), 50 kg P₂O₅
58 per hectare (single super phosphate), and 20 kg K₂O per hectare (muriate of potash) were
59 applied to all treatments including control on the day of sowing. The biometric observations
60 on different characteristics viz., germination percentage, plant height, No. of branches were
61 recorded at various crop growth period. The grain yield (kg/ha) was also recorded from each
62 net plot at harvest.

63 2.1 Germination percentage

64 The germination percentage is obtained from the total number of seeds sown and number of
65 seeds germinated as indicated below:

66
$$\text{Seeds germinated} = \frac{\text{Number of seeds germinated}}{\text{Total number of seeds sown}} \times 100$$

67 Germination percentage (%) = $\frac{\text{Total number of seeds germinated}}{\text{Total number of seeds sown}} \times 100$
68
69

70 **2.2 Plant height (cm)**

71 For each treatment and replication, the height of five plants were randomly selected and
72 tagged plants were measured at 30, 60, 90 DAS and at harvesting stage from the ground
73 level to the tip of the plant and average plant height was worked out and expressed in
74 centimeter.

75 **2.3 Number of branches per plant**

76 Numbers of branches were counted from the five randomly selected plants at each
77 observation i.e. 30 and 60 DAS. The values of the five plants were averaged.

78 **2.4 Grain yield (q/ha)**

79 Net plot yield of dry seed yield were recorded in kilogram and expressed in quintal per
80 hectare.

81 **2.5 Statistical analysis**

82 Mean values of data obtained from the experiment were computed for statistical analysis to
83 test significance and interpretation of results. The statistical significance of various affects
84 was tested 5 percent level of probability (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

85 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

86

87 **3.1 Effect of rice husk mulching on germination percentage**

88 Table 1 demonstrates the germination percentage as influenced by rice husk mulching in
89 chickpea crop on the seventh and fourteenth days after sowing. Between treatments, rice
90 husk mulching had no significant effect on the germination percentage on the seventh day.
91 However, treatment T₁₂ with 60q/ha of rice husk mulching had significantly highest
92 germination percentage (88.33%) on the fourteenth day, and T₁₂ is par with T₁₁ and T₁₀, T₉
93 with T₈, and T₆ with T₅, T₄ and T₃. However, in the absence of rice husk mulching,
94 significantly lower germination percentage (79.08%) was recorded in control (T₁). The
95 majority of the highest germination noted in mulched condition might be because of more
96 ambient soil temperature, optimal soil moisture content and reduction in soil compaction
97 around the seeds under mulch, which helps in better germination and emergence of the
98 chickpea plant. Similar results under mulch were also found to be in agreement with
99 Ramakrishnan *et al.* (2006), Younuset *al.* (2017), Thakur *et al.* (2020), Abonmaiet *al.* (2023) &
100 Minh *et al.* (2023).

101 **3.2 Effect of rice husk mulching on plant height**

102 The height of the chickpea plant was greatly impacted by mulching with rice husk throughout
103 the growing period as presented in table 1. At 30 DAS, among all treatments T₁₂ with 60q/ha
104 rice husk mulching produced significantly taller plant (8.67cm) over other treatments. Here
105 T₉ is at par with T₈ and T₇, T₆ with T₅ and T₄ is at par with T₃. Again at 60 DAS, T₁₂ with
106 60q/ha rice husk mulching produced significantly taller plant (22.33cm) over other treatments
107 and T₈ is at par with T₇ and T₆ and T₄ is at par with T₃. Additionally, it was discovered that

108 the plant height had significant effect at 90 DAS and at harvest. The highest plant heights,
 109 measuring 36.87 cm and 47.33 cm, respectively were noted from T₁₂ (60q/ha rice husk
 110 mulching). However, the shortest plant height (5.47cm, 9.60cm, 23.47cm and 37.20cm,
 111 respectively) was observed in T₁ (no rice husk mulching) throughout the growing period. The
 112 higher plant height might be due to more soil water content that was preserved, inhibited the
 113 development of weeds, which, in contrast to the control, decreased competition and soil
 114 nutrient loss. The outcomes were consistent with the conclusions of Deka *et al.*
 115 (2021), Hidayat *et al.* (2019) and Yadav *et al.* (2006). Ezeet *et al.* (2019) noted that application of
 116 surface mulch can reduce the evaporative losses resulting in significantly higher moisture
 117 content. Soil moisture over time retention, improved soil fertility, increased soil temperature,
 118 and decreased leaching losses are all made possible by mulches. The findings were also
 119 consistent with those of Arche *et al.* (2022), Daleshwar *et al.* (2017).

120 3.3 Effect of rice husk mulching on number of branches

121 The data on the number of branches was influenced significantly by the rice husk mulching
 122 as shown in Table 1. At 30 DAS, significantly maximum number of branches (2.53) was
 123 recorded in T₁₂ with 60q/ha rice husk mulching over other treatments while, T₆, T₅ and T₄
 124 were found to be at par with each other and T₃ is at par with T₂. Similarly at 60 DAS, T₁₂ with
 125 60q/ha rice husk mulching was recorded with significantly maximum number of branches
 126 (4.27) over other treatments. Here T₇ is at par with T₆, T₅ and T₄. However, minimum number
 127 of branches (1.20 and 2.37, respectively) was recorded in T₁ with no rice husk mulching.
 128 This might be because of the optimal soil moisture content and nutrients, which contribute a
 129 more favourable soil environment for crop growth resulting in a greater quantity of branches
 130 on each plant. In the treatment without mulching (T₁), the fewest branches were noted.
 131 According to similar findings, Arche *et al.* (2022) & Daleshwar *et al.* (2017) who revealed that
 132 more branches were produced by mulched plant than that of no mulched plant (control).

133 3.4 Effect of rice husk mulching on grain yield

134 From the table 1 it can be observed that the mean grain yield was influenced significantly by
 135 different treatments of mulching. Among the different treatments, T₁₂ with 60q/ha rice husk
 136 mulching was significantly recorded the highest grain yield (17.10q/ha) while T₉ is at par with
 137 T₈, T₇ with T₆ and T₄ is at par with T₃. However, T₁ without rice husk mulching showed a
 138 noticeably reduced grain production (8.83q/ha). The increased grain yield may be the result
 139 of better soil moisture conservation from increased infiltration. This improves soil moisture
 140 retention, which promotes ideal photosynthesis, nutrient absorption, and transpiration.
 141 Additionally, it prevents weeds from growing, which enhances crop development and growth.
 142 The outcomes is supported by the findings of Sajid *et al.* (2013), they discovered that by
 143 decreasing weed development, increasing soil temperature, and maintaining soil moisture,
 144 the use of organic mulches increased chickpea grain output. Additionally, the outcomes
 145 matched those of Teame *et al.* (2017), Anand *et al.* (2020) & Abonmai *et al.* (2023).

146 **Table 1. Effect of rice husk mulching on germination percentage, plant height, number**
 147 **of branches and grain yield**
 148

| Treatment | Germination percentage (%) | | Plant height (cm) | | | | Number of branches | | Grain yield (q/ha) |
|-----------|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------|--------|------------|--------------------|--------|--------------------|
| | 7 th day | 14 th day | 30 DAS | 60 DAS | 90 DAS | At harvest | 30 DAS | 60 DAS | |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|
| T ₁ | 46.00 | 79.08 | 5.47 | 9.60 | 23.47 | 37.20 | 1.20 | 2.37 | 8.83 |
| T ₂ | 46.67 | 80.08 | 5.80 | 12.10 | 24.00 | 38.20 | 1.33 | 2.67 | 10.67 |
| T ₃ | 46.58 | 81.25 | 6.27 | 13.80 | 25.33 | 39.30 | 1.40 | 2.87 | 11.50 |
| T ₄ | 46.08 | 82.08 | 6.40 | 14.00 | 26.00 | 39.83 | 1.53 | 3.13 | 12.23 |
| T ₅ | 47.33 | 82.50 | 6.73 | 14.40 | 26.80 | 40.67 | 1.60 | 3.17 | 12.43 |
| T ₆ | 46.25 | 83.00 | 6.90 | 15.20 | 28.00 | 42.60 | 1.63 | 3.20 | 13.43 |
| T ₇ | 47.83 | 83.75 | 7.17 | 15.53 | 28.47 | 42.93 | 1.77 | 3.23 | 13.73 |
| T ₈ | 46.33 | 84.42 | 7.20 | 15.80 | 28.93 | 43.20 | 1.93 | 3.43 | 14.97 |
| T ₉ | 46.75 | 85.00 | 7.33 | 16.90 | 30.13 | 44.53 | 2.10 | 3.63 | 15.23 |
| T ₁₀ | 48.17 | 86.25 | 7.60 | 17.97 | 32.87 | 45.33 | 2.27 | 3.83 | 16.00 |
| T ₁₁ | 47.00 | 87.00 | 8.07 | 18.80 | 35.47 | 46.57 | 2.40 | 4.07 | 16.63 |
| T ₁₂ | 51.17 | 88.33 | 8.67 | 22.33 | 36.87 | 47.33 | 2.53 | 4.27 | 17.10 |
| SEm± | 3.77 | 0.34 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.18 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.15 |
| CD (P=.05) | NS | 0.98 | 0.24 | 0.34 | 0.53 | 0.41 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.44 |

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

165

Option 1:

166 Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language
167 Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the
168 writing or editing of this manuscript.

169 **Option 2:**

170 Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models,
171 etc. have been used during the writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will
172 include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as
173 all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

174 Details of the AI usage are given below:

175 1.

176 2.

177 3.

178

179

180

181 REFERENCES

182

183 Abonmai, T., Devi, K.M., Arche, A.A., and Singh, M.S. (2023). Effect of rice husk mulching
184 on growth and yield of local variety pea (*Makhyatmubi*) *Pisumsativum* L. *Ecology*
185 *Environment & Conservation* 29 (January Supplementary Issue), pp. (S347-S350).

186 Anand, D.D., Singh, M.S., Lhungdim, J., Devi, N.S. and Singh, N.G. (2020). Effect of
187 Mulching on Growth and Yield of Soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill). *International Journal of*
188 *Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 9(9): 3313-3318.

189 Anonymous. (2016). Annual Report of promotion of Pulses in NEH Region. 2015-2016, CS
190 Praharaj (ed), ICAR- Indian Institute of Pluses Research, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh 208024
191 (India). 56pp.

192 Arche, A.A., Abonmai, T., Devi, K.M. and Singh, M.S. (2022). Effect of rice husk mulching on
193 growth and yield of local variety pea (*Makhyatmubi*) *Pisumsativum* L. *The Pharma*
194 *Innovation Journal*, 11(7): 1302-1304.

195 Burn, R.C. and Hardy, R.W.F. (1975) Nitrogen fixation in bacteria and higher plants. Berlin,
196 Germany: Springer-Verlang.

197 Daleshwar, R. and Prasad, P. (2017). Effect of mulches on growth and yield of chickpea
198 (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*,
199 6(7): 3893-3897.

200 Deka, A.M., Sheikh, I.A., Pathak, D. and Praharaj, C.S. (2021). Effect of tillage practices and
201 mulching on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in rice- chickpea based
202 cropping system under rainfed condition of Assam, *Journal of Crop and Weed*. 17(3): 9-16.

203 Eze, P.C., A.J. Odofin, I.N. Onyekwere, J.J. Musa and P.A. Tsado. (2019). Influence of Rice
204 Husk – Mulch on Soil Water Balance Components under Sorghum and Millet Crops in
205 Maiduguri, Semi Arid Northeast Nigeria. *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International*. 33
206 (4): 1-7.

- 207 Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. (1984). *Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research*.
208 2ndedn. Wiley, New York, pp. 101-107
- 209 Hidayat, T., Koesmaryono, Y. and Ghulamahdi, M. (2019). Intensifying of reflected radiation
210 under oil palm canopy and its effect on growth and production of soybean. In *IOP*
211 *Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol. 365, No. 1, p. 012001). IOP
212 Publishing.
- 213 Jacks, C.V., Brind, W.D., and Smith, R. (1955). Mulching technology comm., No.49,
214 common wealth. *Bulletin of Soil Science*, P.118.
- 215 Minh X. T., Thanh C. N., Thin H. T., Giang H. T. N., Tieng T. N. (2023). Effect of Mulching on
216 Growth and Yield of Peanut (*Arachishypogaea* L.) on the Coastal Sandy Land in NgheAn
217 Province, Vietnam. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*. 57(4): 487-491.
- 218 Ramakrishnan, A., Tam, H.M., Wani, S.P. & Long T.D. (2006). Effect of mulch on soil
219 temperature, moisture, weed infestation and yield of groundnut in northern Vietnam. *Field*
220 *Crops Research*, 95(2-3): 115-125.
- 221 Sajid, M., Hussain, I., Khan, I. A., Rab, A., Jan, I., Wahid, F., and Shah, S. (2013). Influence
222 of organic mulches on growth and yield components of pea's cultivars. *Greener journal of*
223 *Agricultural Science*. 3: 652-657.
- 224 Sathiya, K., Hussainy, S.A.H. and Sridhar, P. (2020). Effect of land configuration and
225 mulching on the growth, yield and economics of groundnut (*Arachishypogaea*). *Research on*
226 *Crops*. 21(2): 226-230.
- 227
- 228 Teame,G., Tsegay, A. and Abrha, B. (2017). Effect of organic mulching on soil moisture,
229 yield, and yield contributing components of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). *International*
230 *Journal of Agronomy*.
- 231 Thakur, S., Cahuhan, R.P. and Singh, O.P. (2020). Effect of different mulching materials on
232 growth and yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.). *Institute of Agriculture and Animal*
233 *Sciences*. 36: 197-205.
- 234 Vavilov NI. 1951. The origin, variation immunity and breeding of cultivated plants. *Chronica*.
235 *Botanica. New York* 13-1/ 6:26-38, 75-78 15 (1949-50).
- 236 Yadav, R.D., Pareek, R.G. and Yadav, R.L. (2006). Effect of mulching and sulphur on growth
237 and yield of mustard, *Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern and Cosson under varying levels of
238 irrigation. *Journal of Oilseed Research*. 23(2): 219-221.
- 239 Younus, Y.W., Shiasta, M., Ahmad, R., Ganie, N.A., Baqual, M.F., Sahaf, K.A. and Hussain,
240 A. (2017). Effect of different types of mulches on germination and seedling growth of
241 mulberry (*Morus* Sp.). *International Journal of Chemistry Studies*. 6(1): 1364-1367.
- 242
- 243
- 244
- 245
- 246
- 247
- 248