

Influence of different levels of sulphur and phosphorus on growth and productivity of Mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.)

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted at the Agronomy Research Farm of Nirwan University, Jaipur (Rajasthan) during the *Rabi* season of 2023-24. The experiment consisted of ten treatments with different combinations of phosphorus and sulphur. The results revealed that the combined application of phosphorus and sulphur significantly enhanced the growth and yield parameters of Indian mustard compared to the control. The highest plant height, dry matter accumulation, number of siliquae per plant (340.58), number of seeds per siliqua (13.45), seed yield (1897.89 kg ha⁻¹), stover yield (4723.33 kg ha⁻¹), and biological yield (6621.22 kg ha⁻¹) were obtained with the application of 45 kg ha⁻¹ phosphorus and 40 kg ha⁻¹ sulphur. The plant population, number of branches per plant, test weight, and harvest index remained unaffected by different phosphorus and sulphur treatments. The significant improvements in growth and yield attributes can be attributed to enhanced metabolic activity, better cell division, and increased photosynthetic efficiency resulting from phosphorus and sulphur availability. Consequently, the application of 45 kg ha⁻¹ phosphorus and 40 kg ha⁻¹ sulphur was found to be the most profitable treatment, producing the highest seed yield and overall productivity of Indian mustard.

Keywords: Indian mustard, Sulphur, ZinePhosphorus, growth, yieldSarson, Treatment.

Introduction

Rapeseed and mustard crops, belonging to the family *Cruciferae* (Brassicaceae), are widely cultivated in India. Indigenous species such as Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*), brown sarson (*Brassica campestris* var. brown sarson), yellow sarson (*Brassica campestris* var. yellow sarson), toria (*Brassica campestris* var. toria), and taramira (*Eruca sativa*) are complemented by non-traditional species like gobhi sarson (*Brassica napus*), white mustard (*Brassica alba*), and Ethiopian mustard (*Brassica carinata*). This group constitutes the most significant *Rabi* oilseed crops, contributing substantially to India's vegetable fat economy. The oil content in mustard seeds ranges between 37-49% (Bhowmik *et al.*, 2014). The seeds are highly nutritious, containing 38-57% erucic acid and 27% oleic acid. After oil extraction, the residual oil cake serves as valuable cattle feed and manure, providing

Comment [SS1]: Mention this in the Result and Discussion part, otherwise it shouldn't be written here

Comment [SS2]: Add economics data in R&D part

approximately 5.1% nitrogen (N), 1.8% phosphorus pentoxide (P₂O₅), and 1.1% potassium oxide (K₂O). Mustard seeds are used as a condiment for pickles and to ~~flavor~~flavour curries and vegetables, while mustard oil is a staple for cooking, particularly in northern India. The crop's adaptability to residual soil moisture during the Rabi season makes it particularly advantageous (Mukherjee, 2010). Edible oils and fats are essential dietary components, supplying energy and acting as carriers for fat-soluble vitamins. Mustard oil cake or meal is a valuable source of protein for animal feed, contributing to balanced nutrition.

Agronomic practices play a vital role in improving crop productivity, especially during ~~the~~times of climate change (Sharma *et al.*, 2024). Major agronomic practices are seed rate, spacing, mixed cropping, residue retention, tillage practices, weed management, irrigation and fertilization (inorganic or organic) (Das *et al.*, 2024; Devi *et al.*, 2024; Sharma *et al.*, 2024; Salaria *et al.*, 2024; Kumar *et al.*, 2024; Singh *et al.*, 2024; Kaur *et al.*, 2024; Thakur *et al.*, 2023; Sharma *et al.*, 2023; Mrabet *et al.*, 2023; Sharma *et al.*, 2023; Mrabet *et al.*, 2022). Nutrient application is the primary practice to enhance crop productivity. Phosphorus (P) and sulphur (S) are essential nutrients for the optimal growth and productivity of mustard crops (Ryan *et al.*, 2001). Phosphorus plays a critical role in the early stages of growth, root development, reproductive organ formation, early maturity, and disease resistance. It is particularly essential during seed development, as a sufficient supply of phosphorus is necessary for seed formation (Gidago *et al.*, 2012). Sulphur, the fourth major essential nutrient after nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium, is crucial for oilseed crops' growth and productivity. Sulphur supports the synthesis of amino acids like cysteine and methionine, chlorophyll formation, and enhances oil content. It also aids in synthesizing vitamins such as biotin and thiamine and is involved in carbohydrate and protein metabolism, as well as flavor compound formation in cruciferous plants. In recent years, sulphur deficiency has become widespread due to continuous nutrient depletion by high-yielding crops, intensive cropping systems, and reduced application of organic manure and sulphur-containing fertilizers and pesticides. This deficiency can significantly limit the growth, development, and yield potential of mustard crops. Proper phosphorus and sulphur management is, therefore, imperative to achieve optimal productivity and maintain soil fertility for sustainable mustard cultivation.

Materials and methods

The field experiment was carried out during ~~the~~Rabi season of 2023-24 at Agronomy Research Farm, School of Agricultural Sciences, Nirwan University, Jaipur. The experiment

Comment [SS3]: Give one or two references

Comment [SS4]: 1. Include the weather conditions
2. Mention the parameters recorded and their brief protocols

was laid out in a randomized complete block design with treatments replicated thrice. The mustard variety under observation was Bio-902. The treatments consisted of different levels of sulphur and phosphorus, and their combinations viz., control (T₀), phosphorus @ 15 kg phosphorus ha⁻¹ (T₁), phosphorus @ 15 kg phosphorus ha⁻¹ + sulphur @ 20 kg sulphur ha⁻¹ (T₂), 15 kg phosphorus ha⁻¹ + 40 kg sulphur ha⁻¹ (T₃), 30 kg phosphorus ha⁻¹ (T₄), 30 kg phosphorus ha⁻¹ + 20 kg sulphur ha⁻¹ (T₅), 30 kg phosphorus ha⁻¹ + 40 kg sulphur ha⁻¹ (T₆), 45 kg phosphorus ha⁻¹ (T₇), 45 kg phosphorus ha⁻¹ + 20 kg sulphur ha⁻¹ (T₈) and 45 kg phosphorus ha⁻¹ + 40 kg sulphur ha⁻¹ (T₉). The experimental farm is geographically located at 75° 51'44" E longitude, 26°48'35" N latitude and an altitude of 432 m above mean sea level (AMSL). The experimental fields were sandy loam in texture, low in available nitrogen (137.8 kg ha⁻¹) (Subia and Asija 1996), medium in available phosphorus (16.3 kg ha⁻¹) (Olsen *et al.* 1954) and potassium (250.12 kg ha⁻¹) (Jackson, 1973). The organic carbon content was from 0.34-0.38%. The observations recorded were subjected to Fisher's F- test and means were subsequently reported (Fisher, 1950).

Result and Discussion

Growth attributes: Phosphorus and sulphur play crucial roles in enhancing plant height by improving the plant's nutritional environment. The highest plant height (152.91 cm) was recorded in T₉ (45 kg ha⁻¹ P + 40 kg ha⁻¹ S), indicating the optimum availability of these nutrients for robust growth. Treatments such as T₆ (30 kg ha⁻¹ P + 40 kg ha⁻¹ S), T₃ (15 kg ha⁻¹ P + 40 kg ha⁻¹ S), and T₇ (45 kg ha⁻¹ P) also showed statistically similar results, suggesting that a combination of moderate to high levels of phosphorus and sulphur effectively enhances plant height. The increase in height is attributed to the role of phosphorus in energy transfer, cell division, and root development, while sulphur aids in protein synthesis, chlorophyll production, and enzymatic activities. These findings align with those reported by Khanpara *et al.* (2020) and Kumar and Kumar (2021).

Dry matter accumulation progressively increased with the application of higher levels of phosphorus and sulphur. The maximum dry matter (436.47 g m⁻²) was observed in T₉, followed closely by T₈ (45 kg ha⁻¹ P + 20 kg ha⁻¹ S; 413.32 g m⁻²) and T₃ (393.22 g m⁻²). The application of phosphorus and sulphur enhances root growth and nutrient uptake, contributing to greater photosynthetic efficiency and biomass production. The increase in dry matter can be attributed to the formation of more primary and secondary branches, larger leaf area, and sustained vegetative growth, which facilitate higher photosynthetic activity. The

Comment [SS5]: Mention the time of application

Comment [SS6]: Write in such a way that the treatment doses are clearly understood

Comment [SS7]: The manuscript presents limited data. Consider including additional data on economics, and, if possible, nutrient uptake.

Comment [SS8]: Provide some references

synergistic effect of phosphorus and sulphur is evident in the higher growth parameters observed in treatments with combined nutrient applications. The balanced availability of these nutrients creates a favorable environment for plant growth, enhancing cell elongation, multiplication, and expansion. The application of 45 kg ha⁻¹ phosphorus combined with 40 kg ha⁻¹ sulphur (T₉) yields the highest growth attributes. Treatments T₆, T₃, and T₇ showed statistically similar results, indicating that moderate to high levels of phosphorus and sulphur are critical for optimal growth. These results reinforce the importance of phosphorus and sulphur in nutrient management strategies for Indian mustard, confirming findings by previous researchers such as Prajapati *et al.* (2024) Khanpara *et al.* (2020), Kumar and Kumar (2021), Singh and Dhiman (2005), Potdar *et al.* (2019), and Sahoo *et al.* (2021).

Yield attributes and yield: The application of phosphorus and sulphur had a significant impact on various growth and yield parameters of Indian mustard. The highest number of branches per plant (8.72) was recorded in T₉ (45 kg ha⁻¹ phosphorus + 40 kg ha⁻¹ sulphur). Treatments T₈ (8.31 branches) and T₇ (7.84 branches) also demonstrated considerable increases in branching. The increase in the number of branches can be attributed to the combined effect of phosphorus and sulphur, which enhance metabolic activity, cell division, and structural development. Phosphorus supports root development, energy transfer, and the formation of new tissues, while sulphur is essential for amino acid synthesis, contributing to overall plant growth. Similarly, T₉ also recorded the maximum number of siliquae per plant (340.58), number of seeds per siliqua (13.45), seed yield (1897.89 kg ha⁻¹), stover yield (4723.33 kg ha⁻¹), and biological yield (6621.22 kg ha⁻¹). These improvements can be explained by enhanced nutrient

Table1: Effect of sulphur and phosphorus fertilizers on growth attributes of Indian mustard at harvest

| Treatments | Plant height (cm) | Dry matter accumulation (g m ⁻²) |
|---|-------------------|--|
| T ₀ : Control | 129.91 | 303.00 |
| T ₁ : 15 kg ha ⁻¹ Phosphorus | 138.18 | 319.84 |
| T ₂ : 15 kg ha ⁻¹ Phosphorus + 20 kg ha ⁻¹ Sulphur | 139.89 | 346.96 |
| T ₃ : 15 kg ha ⁻¹ Phosphorus + 40 kg ha ⁻¹ Sulphur | 150.95 | 393.22 |
| T ₄ : 30 kg ha ⁻¹ Phosphorus | 138.21 | 337.78 |
| T ₅ : 30 kg ha ⁻¹ Phosphorus + 20 kg ha ⁻¹ Sulphur | 140.50 | 369.68 |
| T ₆ : 30 kg ha ⁻¹ Phosphorus + 40 kg ha ⁻¹ Sulphur | 149.80 | 387.67 |
| T ₇ : 45 kg ha ⁻¹ Phosphorus | 148.65 | 374.74 |
| T ₈ : 45 kg ha ⁻¹ Phosphorus + 20 kg ha ⁻¹ Sulphur | 146.85 | 413.32 |
| T ₉ : 45 kg ha ⁻¹ Phosphorus + 40 kg ha ⁻¹ Sulphur | 152.91 | 436.47 |

Formatted Table

| | | |
|---------------|------|-------|
| SEm± | 2.89 | 6.94 |
| LSD (p= 0.05) | 4.75 | 11.05 |

availability, which facilitates better cell division, elongation, and photosynthetic efficiency. This leads to greater production and accumulation of carbohydrates, promoting the retention of more reproductive parts and subsequently higher yield. Treatments such as T₆ (30 kg ha⁻¹ phosphorus + 40 kg ha⁻¹ sulphur), T₃ (15 kg ha⁻¹ phosphorus + 40 kg ha⁻¹ sulphur), and T₄ (30 kg ha⁻¹ phosphorus) also showed statistically similar results, indicating the beneficial effects of moderate to high phosphorus and sulphur application. The synergistic effect of these nutrients leads to improved branching, reproductive growth, and yield parameters, ultimately enhancing productivity in Indian mustard.

Table-2: Effect of sulphur and phosphorus fertilizers on yield attributes and yields of Indian mustard

| Treatments | Number of branches plant ⁻¹ | Number of siliqua plant ⁻¹ | Number of seeds siliquae ⁻¹ | Seed yield (kg ha ⁻¹) | Stover yield (kg ha ⁻¹) | Biological yield (kg ha ⁻¹) |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| T ₀ : Control | 5.63 | 233.65 | 11.73 | 805.20 | 2134.67 | 2939.87 |
| T ₁ : 15 kg ha ⁻¹ Phosphorus | 5.79 | 237.29 | 11.82 | 896.16 | 2193.33 | 3089.49 |
| T ₂ : 15 kg ha ⁻¹ Phosphorus + 20 kg ha ⁻¹ Sulphur | 6.03 | 259.40 | 12.15 | 1160.72 | 2693.33 | 3854.05 |
| T ₃ : 15 kg ha ⁻¹ Phosphorus + 40 kg ha ⁻¹ Sulphur | 7.95 | 304.91 | 12.94 | 1599.46 | 3963.33 | 5562.79 |
| T ₄ : 30 kg ha ⁻¹ Phosphorus | 5.89 | 252.92 | 12.07 | 1126.35 | 2540.07 | 3666.42 |
| T ₅ : 30 kg ha ⁻¹ Phosphorus + 20 kg ha ⁻¹ Sulphur | 6.57 | 308.19 | 12.36 | 1305.56 | 3060.00 | 4365.56 |
| T ₆ : 30 kg ha ⁻¹ Phosphorus + 40 kg ha ⁻¹ Sulphur | 7.91 | 320.59 | 12.83 | 1593.67 | 3780.00 | 5373.67 |
| T ₇ : 45 kg ha ⁻¹ Phosphorus | 7.84 | 280.54 | 12.59 | 1449.35 | 3413.33 | 4862.68 |
| T ₈ : 45 kg ha ⁻¹ Phosphorus + 20 kg ha ⁻¹ Sulphur | 8.31 | 323.42 | 13.14 | 1750.46 | 4346.67 | 6097.13 |
| T ₉ : 45 kg ha ⁻¹ Phosphorus + 40 kg ha ⁻¹ Sulphur | 8.72 | 340.58 | 13.45 | 1897.89 | 4723.33 | 6621.22 |

Comment [SS9]: format the table to ensure clarity. Present treatment details in a single line or an alternative layout that clearly conveys the treatments and their corresponding data

Comment [SS10]: Check the no of siliqua per plant

| | | | | | | |
|------|------|-------|------|--------|--------|--------|
| SEm± | 0.14 | 7.23 | 0.20 | 54.36 | 191.08 | 245.44 |
| LSD | 0.38 | 13.26 | 0.17 | 142.98 | 340.30 | 483.28 |

Conclusion

The study concludes that the combination of phosphorus and sulphur had an additive effect in enhancing the growth and yield of Indian mustard. Significantly higher seed yield, stover yield, and biological yield were achieved with the application of 45 kg ha⁻¹ phosphorus and 40 kg ha⁻¹ sulphur (T₉) compared to the control and other treatments.

Comment [SS11]: emphasize the economic and environmental benefits of the recommended treatment

References:

1. Bhowmik, B., Mitra, B. and Bhadra, K. (2014). Diversity of insect pollinators and their effect on the crop yield of *Brassica juncea* L., NPJ-93, from Southern West Bengal. *International Journal of Recent Scientist Research*, 5(6), 1207-1213.
2. Das, K. K., MD, S., Goswami, S., Bhattacharya, S., Tripathy, P. S., Ghosh, P., & Sharma, T. (2024). The Role of Nanobiostimulants in Modulating Plant–Microbe Symbioses for Enhanced Crop Productivity. In *Nanobiostimulants: Emerging Strategies for Agricultural Sustainability* (pp. 325-349). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
3. Devi, T., Rana, R. S., Chauhan, G., & Sharma, T. (2024). Sowing Environments and Yield of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) Cultivars under Changing Climate Conditions of North Western Himalayan Region. *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International*, 46(11), 95-102.
4. Fisher, R.A. (1950). *Statistical methods for research workers*. Pub. Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh, London.
5. Gidago, G., S. Beyene and W. Worku. (2012). Response of haricot bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) to phosphorus application on Ultisols at Areka, Southern Ethiopia. *Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare*, 1(3), 38-49.
6. Jackson, M.L. 1973. *Soil chemical analysis*, Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.
7. Kaur, M., Singla, D., Kaur, H., Kaur, S., Sharma, T., & Choudhary, R. (2024). Nanomaterial Fabrication from Paddy Straw for Bioethanol Production. In *Paddy Straw Waste for Biorefinery Applications* (pp. 109-126). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.

Comment [SS12]: Format the references as per journal guideline

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

8. Khanpara, V.D., Porwal, B.L. and Patel, J.C. (2020). Effect of levels and modes of sulphur application on biochemical changes in mustard (*Brassica juncea*) leaves. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 38(3): 410-413.
9. Kumar, A. and Kumar, S. (2021). Crop growth rate and developmental characteristics of Indian mustard *var.* Vardan to various levels of sulphur. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 42(2): 112-115.
10. Kumar, N., Singh, R., Agrawal, R. K., Sharma, G. D., Singh, A., Sharma, T., & Rana, R. S. (2024). Optimizing forage harvest and the nutritive value of Italian ryegrass-based mixed forage cropping under northwestern Himalayan conditions. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 15, 1346936.
11. Mrabet, R., Singh, A., & Sharma, T. (2023). Conservation Agriculture as Sustainable and Smart Soil Management: When Food Systems Meet Sustainability. *Agroecological Approaches for Sustainable Soil Management*, 339-356.
12. Mrabet, R., Singh, A., Sharma, T., Kassam, A., Friedrich, T., Basch, G., ~~et al.~~ & ~~Gonzalez Sanchez, E-et al.~~ (2022). Conservation Agriculture: Climate Proof and Nature Positive Approach. In *Resource Management in Agroecosystems*. IntechOpen.
13. Mukherjee, D. (2010). Productivity, profitability and apparent nutrient balance under different crop sequence in mid- hill condition. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 80(5): 420-22.
14. Olsen, S.R., Cole, C.V., Watnable, F.S and Dean, L.A. 1954. Estimation of available phosphorus in soil by extraction with sodium bicarbonate *Circular 939*, USDA, Washington, DC, USA.
15. Potdar, D.S., Purohit, H.S., Meena, R.H., Kaushik, M.K., Jain, H.K. and Ameta. K.D. (2019). Effect of integrated phosphorus management on growth, yield and quality of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 8(4): 1700-1704.
16. Prajapati, V., Mankotia, B., Rana, B. B., Sharma, A., Dogra, P., Sharma, S., Verma, A., Sharma, J., & Meena, D. (2024). Effect of Biofertilizers at Different Fertility Levels on Nutrient Content and Uptake by Gobhi Sarson (*Brassica napus* L.) under Himalayan Region. *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International*, 46(12), 214–221. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i123126>
17. Ryan, J., Estefan, G. and Rashid, A. 2001. Soil and Plant Analysis Laboratory Manual. In: Jointly published by the International Centre Agricultural Research in

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic, German (Germany)

Formatted: Font: Italic

Dry Areas (ICARDA), Aleppo, Syria and National Agriculture Research Centre (NARC), Islamabad.

18. Sahoo, R., Singh, V., Tiwari, D. & Scholar, M. (2021). Effect of phosphorus and sulphur levels on growth and yield of yellow mustard (*Brassica campestris* L.). 10. 1988-1991.
19. Salaria, S., Rana, R. S., Sharma, T., Chauhan, G., Sandal, S. K., Kalia, V., & Pathania, R. (2024). Optimizing Sowing Windows for Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in the Sub-temperate Climate of the North-western Himalayas of India. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*, 36(9), 911-918.
20. Sharma, R., Manuja, S., Kumar, N., Sharma, R. P., Saharan, S., Sharma, T., & Rana, B. B. (2023). Effect of foliar spray of nano nitrogen and nano zinc on growth, development, yield and economics of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.).
21. Sharma, T., Mrabet, R., Singh, A., Kumar, N., Baghla, D., Kumar, S., ... & Chauhan, G. (2024). Global Hunger: The Need for Smart and Sustainable Agriculture. In *Sustainable Green Nanotechnology* (pp. 129-151). CRC Press.
22. Sharma, T., Singh, J., Madaik, S., Kumar, P., Singh, A., Rana, B. B., & Chauhan, G. (2024). Organic input incorporation for enhancing sustainability and economic viability of cowpea in North-Western Himalayan region. *Frontiers in Agronomy*, 6, 1458603.
23. Sharma, T., Singh, J., Singh, A., Sharma, R., & Chauhan, G. (2023). Effect of organic nutrient sources on the yield, nutrient uptake and nodulation in Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) under mid-hill conditions of Western Himalayas. *Environment Conservation Journal*, 24(2), 250-256.
24. Singh, A., Bindra, A. D., Sharma, T., Sharma, R., Rana, B. B., Chauhan, G., & Manuja, S. (2024). Growth and Development of Rice as Influenced by Establishment, Residue Retention and Zinc Application. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*, 36(5), 1023-1032.
25. Singh, I.D. and Stoskopt, N.C. 1971. Harvest index of cereals. *Agronomy Journal*, 63 : 224-226.
26. Singh, R.B. and Dhiman, O.P. (2005). Effect of sulphur and zinc on Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Science*, 75(14):826-829
27. Subbiah, B.V. and Asija, G.L. 1956. A rapid procedure for estimation of available nitrogen in soils. *Current Science* 25: 259-260.

28. Thakur, M., Chopra, P., Kumar, S., Sharma, T., & Singh, A. (2023). Efficacy of Different Herbicides for Controlling Grass Predominant Weed Flora and Achieving Higher Production in Dual Purpose Linseed under Mid Hills of Himachal Pradesh. *Environment and Ecology*, 41(4C), 2845-2852.

UNDER PEER REVIEW