

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_116660
Title of the Manuscript:	Differentiating biological roles of some organic amendments on some parameters for sandy and loamy soils cultivated with lettuce (<i>Lactuca sativa</i> L.) and final yield
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Intensive use of agricultural land leads to depletion of soil resources. Soil mineralization with inorganic substances has not given effective results in crop production. Products grown on such soils contain carcinogenic substances that are dangerous to human health. The authors of the article have developed a technology for the use of organic additives, including potassium humate (KH), stillage and olive pomace as a partial potential replacement for mineral fertilizers when feeding lettuce (<i>Lactuca sativa</i> L.) for sandy and loamy soils and final yield. The results of the study had a positive effect on lettuce yield and maintaining the safety of the soil layer.</p> <p>2. The title of the article generally corresponds to its content.</p> <p>3. The authors limited themselves to a detailed description of the stages of the study. However, not enough attention was paid to describing the results obtained.</p> <p>4. The sections follow the structure of the manuscript. However, not enough attention has been paid to the conclusions and conclusions regarding relevance.</p> <p>5. The manuscript has scientific and practical significance.</p> <p>6. The list of references is acceptable for publication in accordance with the requirements of the journal.</p> <p>It is advisable to include conclusions and conclusions in the article. Which indicate the significance of the author's developments.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	English meets the requirements of scientific communication.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The work is interesting. Practically significant. It is advisable to highlight criteria indicating the positive effect of using the developed methodology in other regional conditions. Can be considered as a positive practical experience in mineralization with organic fertilizers. Both for food and environmental safety of soils.	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anastasia Bashkireva
Department, University & Country	Ryazan State University, Russia