

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_129437
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Assessment of Water Quality and Risks related to the Use of Groundwater Resources in the Urban Area of Niamey and Nearby Rural Areas in the Regions of Dosso and Tillabery in Niger</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>I believe this work will benefit the scientific community as a baseline for further studies. But most importantly, these findings will be a lot more beneficial to government and decision makers within Niamey and Niger in general to make better policy to safeguard public health.</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Yes but I suggest the title should reflect the actual work done for instance "Hydrochemical Assessment of..."</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Yes the abstract is ok. No long story, just straight to the point.</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes however, some comments in the conclusion should not be absolute based on findings. For instance, don't say Fertilizers contributed to polluting the groundwater but that Fertilizers could have contributed to the pollution based on your findings.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	I didn't dwell much on the refence	

**Review Form 3**

<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	There are a couple of grammar errors and omissions that needs be corrected. It is already looking good	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>I did research on Rainwater quality evaluation in my undergraduate theses and it is quite similar. I understand the work being done. Kudos.</b>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Lucky Odume</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nigeria</b>