

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_128844
Title of the Manuscript:	The Story of the Pink Bollworm: Pectinophora gossypiella (Saunders)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article reviewed the current research finding in the field of Bacillus thuringiensis crystal toxins in the previous searches and trials experimented to evaluate the toxins efficacy and resistant pest status have developed mild or high resistance values. This kind of searches are valuable as an pesticide alternative tools available to control pests.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes the title is suitable but I feel the table title better than this Cry toxin resistance levels in pink bollworm across cotton-growing zones in India Or use: Resistance establishment of pink bollworm Pectinophora gossypiella (Saunders) to the Cry2Ab and Cry1Ac	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I think that the article abstract focused on the introduction more than the results or the aim and the subject and need 2 lines at least to illustrate the results or the benefits to write this. And delete some lines at all or add to introduction	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes the manuscript brief much information on the uses of the crystal toxins to control cotton pests .	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes references are sufficient but the referencers of parased etal 2007 is missing	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes English language were written perfectly and good	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hanan Salah El-Din Taha
Department, University & Country	Agriculture Research Centre, Egypt