

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_128928
Title of the Manuscript:	Antimicrobial Effect Of Vigna Subterranean (Bambara Nut) On Some Microbial Isolates
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Manuscript is written in scientific manners.➤ It will helpful to get antimicrobial effect of these seeds.➤ Overall manuscript is in good fashion.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title of article should be revise as it is not suitable for attracting the research community	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ In the beginning of abstract importance of the plant origin and its scope should mention first.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Manuscript is written in scientific manners.➤	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Yes	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	➤ Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	➤ Some important point need to be correct before acceptance (Minor revision) ➤ Title should be comprehensive as well as attractive ➤ Which solvent is used is not mentioned in the abstract, tables as well as in title ➤ Source of microorganisms/ pathogens is not looking authentic (give authentic document proving the pathogenicity) ➤ GCMS chromatogram and list of compounds is not mentioned any where.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rahul Ashokrao More
Department, University & Country	India