

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_128591
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring Genetic Distance and Diversity Analysis in Okra ( <i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L. Moench): A Comprehensive Study on the Genetic Variation within Cultivars
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The authors attempted to investigate the extent of genetic diversity among some okra genotypes. This important in crop improvement efforts. The findings if properly outlined will be an additional literature in okra breeding.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<b>NO.</b> Analysis of genetic variation among okra genotypes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<b>No.</b> Can you make into a problem statement/objective. Abstract typically should include problem statement, objectives, methods, results, and conclusion. Kindly include all the component chronologically. For instance, PCA is totally missing in this account	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	I have suggested additional analyses eg ANOVA to statistically show that the genotypes are different for the measured traits. Further, the authors should consider grouping the genotypes into clusters.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Authors can include more recent references for this topic.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. However, it can be better	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</b>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Richard Olayiwola</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of New England &amp; Chickpea Breeding, Australia</b>