

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_127806
Title of the Manuscript:	Production Problems of Maize, Biotic & A Biotic Factor and Approaches to Manage the Crop: A review
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community as it provides a comprehensive review of the biotic and abiotic challenges affecting maize production in Pakistan, a key agricultural crop with global relevance. By addressing critical factors such as pests, diseases, soil issues, and climatic adversities, the study offers a holistic understanding of constraints that hinder maize yield. The proposed management approaches, including improved agronomic practices, pest control strategies, and policy recommendations, can serve as valuable guidelines for researchers, agronomists, and policymakers. Moreover, the insights presented in this review can aid in developing sustainable agricultural practices, ensuring food security, and mitigating the impacts of global food crises.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title may be revised as the article is a review article. An indicative title might be <i>A Review on The Problems of Biotic & Abiotic Factors in Maize Crop Production and its Managements</i> An alternative title could also be: " <i>Production Challenges of Maize in Pakistan: Biotic and Abiotic Factors and Management Approaches: A Review</i> " This emphasizes the review aspect while highlighting the focus on impacts and policy implications.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Satisfactory: The abstract provides a good-rounded summary of text on diseases and pest of maize crop with their management, offering a forward-looking perspective on how these obstacles may be overcome and it can help to enhance productivity of crop. To improve the abstract, including a major takeaway and a recommendation would provide more depth and a clear direction for readers.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	There is a need for some improvements based on the comments provided in the manuscript, which the authors may incorporate.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references provided are sufficient.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Satisfactory	
Optional/General comments	The author should incorporate additional data-based reviews, specifically focused on the context Pakistan. Including more studies that highlight the major problems related with diseases and pests of maize crop, that would be focused for the improvement of farmers income/economy, and environmental conditions in Pakistan will provide a stronger foundation for the article and make more relevant to the target of researchers.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

<u>Name:</u>	Mukesh Kumar Singh
<u>Department, University & Country</u>	Tilka Manjhi Agriculture College, India