

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_127979
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Maternal Employment and Nutritional Status of Children Aged Between 6 to 59 Months</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript examines how maternal employment impacts the nutritional status of children (6 mos. - 5 yrs) in Nepal, addressing a gap in public health research. It emphasizes the importance of targeted interventions, maternal education, and economic empowerment for improved child nutrition and gender equality.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title of the article "Maternal Employment and Nutritional Status of Children Aged Between 6 to 59 Months" appears to be suitable and accurately reflects the focus of the research.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Based on the provided abstract, I believe it is fairly comprehensive in covering the key aspects of the research. However, here are a few suggestions that could potentially enhance the abstract: While the abstract mentions the cross-sectional study design, briefly describing the key methodological approaches, such as the sampling technique, data collection tools, and analytical procedures, software used for data entry and analysis could be useful.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The manuscript appears to be scientifically sound and follows established research practices. The study design, methodology, data analysis, and interpretation of the findings seem appropriate and consistent with scientific standards. The results were significant. Tables should be cited in the text in the order they appear and should have appropriate captions	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	During sample size determination mentions a Bangladesh study used for sample size calculation, but this reference is missing. More references are needed to support the background information and to situate the current study within the existing literature. <b>Insufficient References:</b> The number of references cited is inadequate to support the claims and background information presented. The paper relies heavily on a few sources, which weakens the overall scientific validity. Crucially, the reference for the Bangladesh study used to determine sample size is missing. Older references may not reflect recent advancements in the field. Why did the author put the reference in the manual?	

### Review Form 3

<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Overall, with some revisions focusing on clarity, precision, and formal tone, the language quality can meet the requirements for scholarly communication.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dursa Hussein</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kuyu General Hospital, Ethiopia</b>