

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_128952
Title of the Manuscript:	Leveraging Digital Tools for Enhancing Assessments in Early Childhood Education: Opportunities and Challenges
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it addresses the evolving role of digital tools in early childhood education (ECE) assessments. By exploring the integration of technology in developmental tracking and personalized learning, it provides valuable insights for educators, researchers, and policymakers. Its emphasis on inclusivity and equity ensures relevance across diverse educational contexts. The research also bridges traditional assessment methods with digital innovation, offering a balanced framework for future educational practices.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	the title, "Leveraging Digital Tools for Enhancing Assessments in Early Childhood Education: Opportunities and Challenges," is clear and aligns with the content of the manuscript. However, a more concise alternative could be: "Digital Innovations in Early Childhood Education Assessments: Opportunities and Challenges."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive, covering the manuscript's scope, significance, and key findings. However, it could be improved by briefly mentioning specific examples of digital tools discussed, such as augmented reality or AI applications, to provide a clearer preview of the manuscript's depth.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The abstract is comprehensive, covering the manuscript's scope, significance, and key findings. However, it could be improved by briefly mentioning specific examples of digital tools discussed, such as augmented reality or AI applications, to provide a clearer preview of the manuscript's depth.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent, encompassing both foundational and contemporary studies. However, including additional references on the long-term effects of digital tools in ECE or cross-cultural applications could enhance the discussion.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is clear and suitable for scholarly communication. Minor grammatical refinements could further improve the manuscript's readability and professionalism.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is a timely and well-structured contribution to the field of education technology. The focus on actionable recommendations and future directions ensures its practical value. A more detailed exploration of the ethical considerations and case studies on successful implementation could add depth.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hoang Nguyen Hong
Department, University & Country	Huynh Man Dat High School for the Gifted, Vietnam