

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_128437
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>THE IMPACT OF EXCRETA DISPOSAL INTO LAGOS LAGOON ON THE LAGOON ECOSYSTEM AT IDDO DISCHARGE POINT IN APAPA LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF LAGOS STATE NIGERIA.</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript is crucial for the scientific community as it investigates the impact of excreta disposal into Lagos Lagoon on the lagoon ecosystem. The findings would have significant implications for environmental sustainability and public health and contribute to the existing body of knowledge on environmental pollution.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title is informative, but it's quite long and specific. Here's a suggested alternative title:  <b>"Assessing the Ecological Impact of Excreta Disposal on Lagos Lagoon, Nigeria"</b>  This title still conveys the main topic and location but is more concise and easier to read.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Suggestions</b>  1. Focus on the main research question and objectives. 2. Provide a concise overview of the methodology used. 3. Highlight the key findings and their significance. 4. Avoid including unnecessary details, such as references to specific book chapters or statistical software. 5. Ensure the abstract is self-contained and clearly summarises the article's content.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The manuscript has several scientific concerns that need to be addressed. Firstly, the abstract is inconsistent and lacks clarity, making it difficult to understand the study's focus. Additionally, the data analysis raises questions about the interpretation of key statistical measures. Furthermore, the conclusion is unrelated to the study's topic, which is a significant concern. Overall, the manuscript lacks clarity and coherence, making it essential to review and revise the text thoroughly to ensure its scientific correctness.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The manuscript's references are insufficient, outdated, and disorganized. Some in-text citations with no date. A thorough literature review is needed to incorporate recent studies and reorganize the reference list.	

**Review Form 3**

<p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language and grammar quality of the article are not suitable for scholarly communications, due to grammatical errors, informal language, and unclear sentence structures. Thorough proofreading and editing are necessary to improve its quality</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u> comments</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The number of returned questionnaires (1001) seems unrealistic.</li> <li>2. The field survey data from 2012 may not accurately represent the current situation in 2024.</li> <li>3. Remove grid lines from tables.</li> <li>4. Discrepancy in questionnaire return rate (1001) versus the actual number of residents and workers (163).</li> <li>5. Consider using additional statistical measures (median, IQR) and data distribution plots to address potential data skewness and outliers.</li> <li>6. The conclusion appears unrelated to the manuscript's topic and should be revised to align with the study's focus on excreta disposal into Lagos Lagoon.</li> </ol> <p>The manuscript requires significant revisions to address the concerns mentioned earlier, including inconsistencies, outdated references, language and grammar issues, and discrepancies in data. Once the author has made the necessary corrections and revisions, the manuscript can proceed for publication.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Elisha, Otekenari David</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Nigeria</b></p>