

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_129101
Title of the Manuscript:	Feasibility of timing insecticide applications on the basis of pheromone trap catch number of Fall armyworm, <i>Spodoptera frugiperda</i> (J.E. Smith) on Maize
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Author(s) have done a good work showing relationship between Trap catches and field infestation of FAW. It will certainly be useful information for the scientific community working in the area.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Existing title: Feasibility of timing insecticide applications on the basis of pheromone trap catch number of Fall armyworm, <i>Spodoptera frugiperda</i> (J.E. Smith) on Maize Suggested title: Feasibility of timing insecticide applications on the basis of <u>based on</u> pheromone trap catches number of Fall armyworm, <i>Spodoptera frugiperda</i> (J.E. Smith) on <u>in</u> Maize	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract can be made crisper. Details given for Materials and Methods, including the site of conducted study, are not necessary to be included in the abstract. It should be started with slight Introduction, Materials and Methods, but more of results and conclusion. Abstract may be modified.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript seems to be scientifically robust and technically sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript is written well.	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 'Genus' name should be in full only when used for first time in the manuscript. It should be abbreviated at all the subsequent places. Authors have analysed the data separately for both the years. Better they would have analysed the pooled data (for both the years) too. How many traps were installed for the data observation. Whether the data given in the table is mean of several traps? 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anup Chandra
Department, University & Country	ICAR-Indian Institute of Pulses Research, India