

Feasibility of timing insecticide applications on the basis of pheromone trap catch number of Fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) on Maize

Abstract

Field experiments were conducted at the Instructional Farms, Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya at Pundibari, Cooch Behar, West Bengal, India in rabi season of years 2022–23 and 2023–24 to monitor moth activity of fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* by using pheromone traps. Pheromone traps (Polythene sleeve type) baited with 'GAIAGEN Lures' (rubber septa) were installed @ 12 traps/ha in the experimental fields. The adult male moths caught during an observational week were counted. Per cent plant infestation was recorded at every seven days interval. The results have revealed that there was a gap of several weeks between peak field infestation and peak moth catch/trap, it indicates that field infestation was high even though moth trap catch was low and there is a negative association between the trap catches and per cent plant infestation. The relationship between field infestation (No. of larvae/plant and % pl. infestation) and trap catches were negatively correlated for all the five weeks i.e., n^{th} , $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$, $(n-2)^{\text{th}}$, $(n-3)^{\text{th}}$, $(n-4)^{\text{th}}$ in both 2022-23 and 2023-24 years. Therefore, it can be concluded that only pheromone trap catch data cannot be taken into consideration for timing insecticide application.

Key words: Fall armyworm, maize, monitoring, pheromone traps, field infestation.

1. Introduction

Fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J. E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) was originally known to be endemic to the Western Hemisphere but now it is present in major maize (*Zea mays* L.; Poaceae) growing regions of Africa, Asia [1]. The rapid incursion of this pest into various countries has now become a global concern [2]. The first report of FAW in Asia was confirmed in Karnataka, India in 2018 [3], which was followed by its discovery from other states of the country and several Asian countries, including Bangladesh, China, Sri Lanka, Thailand and Vietnam. More recently, FAW was reported in Australia, the Canary Islands and New Caledonia [4].

Generally, invasive alien species cause a significant threat to agricultural crops. They are always been foreseen to increase with a changing climate and increased international trade [5]. Research have

shown that invasive alien species can also take the place of native organisms, negatively impact biodiversity, and make alternations to ecosystems causing huge economic losses [5] [6]. Nevertheless, developing countries are particularly at risk to IAS impacts because the greater part of people living in these countries own land of 2 ha or sometimes less [7]. For developing productive management strategies of FAW in given locality, information on motoring data is required [8]. The quick spread of *S. frugiperda* on maize (*Zea mays* L.; Poaceae) fields made it important to assess various integrated pest management strategies to control this pest. However, effective monitoring and surveillance efforts are necessary for these initiatives to succeed [9]. Pheromone trapping, which involves luring adult males into a collection trap by using sex pheromones, is an effective way to find fall armyworms population in a particular area and can significantly ease pest monitoring [10]. This pest shows fluctuations in its natural environment. The information on monitoring of FAW is inadequate under Pundibari location situated in the terai agroclimatic zone of West Bengal, India. So, this study was conducted to monitor the male moth activity with the objective to assess the relationship between adult trap catches and larval infestation in this location to time the insecticide application for future infestation of FAW.

2. Materials and methods

The field experiments were conducted in rabi seasons of 2022–23 and 2023–24 at the Instructional Farms, Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Pundibari, Cooch Behar, West Bengal, India on maize. The crop variety used for the experiment was Samriddhi (HMH 789). The number of observational plots were 3, each with a plot size of 10 m × 10 m and a buffer zone of 1 m was maintained between the plots. Row to row spacing of 60 cm and plant to plant spacing of 30 cm was maintained. The crop was raised following all the recommended agronomical practices. Pheromone trap (Polythene sleeve type) baited with ‘GAIAGEN Lures’ (rubber septa) was installed at 1 metre height from the ground level on the day of sowing. The traps were installed at the rate of 12 traps/ha in the experimental fields. Height of the pheromone trap was increased with the crop growth. The rubber septum containing the lure was changed for every 15 days. The adult male moths trapped were collected daily and the total number of moths caught during an observational week were counted. Per cent plant infestation was recorded at every seven days interval by counting number of infested plants and total number of plants from each plot and it was calculated by using the formula:

$$\text{Per cent infestation} = \frac{\text{No of infested Plants}}{\text{No. of total plants}} \times 100$$

Correlation studies of pheromone trap catches with field infestation: The relationship between the moth activity and the actual field infestation were assessed through correlation studies. To do so, per

cent infestation/plot recorded in the n^{th} week was correlated with the number of male moth trapped in the n^{th} week, the previous week i.e. the $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$ week, two weeks back i.e. $(n-2)^{\text{th}}$ week, three weeks back i.e. $(n-3)^{\text{th}}$ week and four weeks back i.e. $(n-4)^{\text{th}}$ week.

3.RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Population dynamics of *Spodopterafrugiperda* (J.E. Smith) on Rabi season Maize

3.1.1. Pheromone trap monitoring:

Seasonal fluctuation in the population of adult male moth of *S. frugiperda* were monitored with the help of pheromone traps during two consecutive rabi seasons of 2022-23 and 2023-24 (Table 1 and 2). During first year, the pheromone trap catch data reveals the commencement of first catch of adult moth in maize by 3rd week of December (17.12.22 to 23.12.22). The moth catches in trap remained low till 3rd week of February (18.02.23 to 24.02.23). Then the trap catches started increasing and peak trap catches were attained during the 2nd week of March (11.03.23 to 17.03.23). Thereafter, the moth catches started decreasing but did not vanish completely though the crop was approaching maturity stage.

During the second year i.e., rabi 2023-24, the pheromone trap catch data reveals the commencement of first catch of adult moth in maize by 4th week of December (27.12.23 to 02.01.24). The moth catches in traps remained low till 4th week of February (21.02.24 to 27.02.24) with 2 moths/trap. Number of moths/trap started increasing from 4th week of February (28.02.24 to 05.03.24), since then the trap catch count was almost uniform with the highest number recorded in last week of March (20.03.24 to 26.03.24) with 15 moths/trap.

3.1.2. Field infestation:

The maximum field infestation percent of 6.51 and 6.09% was recorded on 21.01.2023 and 31.01.2024 respectively, when there was no moth catch in the trap. Field infestation was decreased to 4.13 and 3.80% on 18.03.2023 and 27.03.2024, respectively during two rabi seasons when the moth catch/trap was at peak level with 21 moths/trap and 15 moths/trap in these weeks, respectively. There was a gap of several weeks between peak field infestation and peak moth catch/trap during both the years, which indicates that field infestation was high even though moth trap catch was low and there is a negative association between the trap catches and per cent plant infestation (Table 1&2). These findings are in agreement with Londhe et al. (2024) [11] who found a negative correlation between captured males of fall armyworm and infestation of maize crops, their results showed that the percentage of fall armyworm infestation in maize crops dropped as pheromone trap densities increased. Kumar et al. (2009) [12] and Shivakumara (2001) [13], worked on different lepidopterous pests including *Helicoverpa armigera* where the findings of their field trials, with pheromone traps indicated a negative correlation between pheromone trap catches and field damage, in case of tomato

fruit borer moth, *Helicoverpaarmigera*, okra shoot and fruit borer moth, *Erias insulana* and brinjal shoot and fruit borer moth *Leucinodesorbonalis*.

Table 1. Population of *Spodopterafrugiperda* in relation to weather parameters during Rabi 2022-23

Date of observation	No. of moths/trap	No. of larva/pl.	Per cent Plant infestation	Temp °C		R.H. (%)		R.F. (mm)
				Max.	Min.	Max.	Min.	
10.12.22	0	0.020	2.84	29.30	8.74	73.57	46.00	0.00
17.12.22	0	0.026	3.73	27.13	9.04	85.71	51.71	0.00
24.12.22	1	0.031	4.47	26.82	8.84	83.57	54.57	0.00
31.12.22	0	0.035	5.08	24.05	7.41	94.85	54.28	0.00
07.01.23	0	0.040	5.69	22.94	6.63	95.43	57.14	0.00
14.01.23	0	0.041	5.90	25.06	7.29	95.71	49.86	0.00
21.01.23	0	0.045	6.51	20.84	8.17	93.86	65.00	0.00
28.01.23	0	0.045	6.37	25.83	9.24	81.29	46.00	0.00
04.02.23	0	0.044	6.23	25.90	12.71	95.29	61.00	0.00
11.02.23	2	0.043	6.11	24.10	12.47	87.29	60.43	0.00
18.02.23	4	0.042	5.96	24.10	12.47	87.29	60.43	0.00
25.02.23	4	0.038	5.43	28.41	15.91	84.00	57.00	0.00
04.03.23	13	0.037	5.29	29.87	16.16	70.29	47.29	0.00
11.03.23	19	0.032	4.54	31.46	16.20	68.86	41.71	0.00
18.03.23	21	0.029	4.13	30.99	16.73	68.14	44.57	20.20
25.03.23	18	0.026	3.72	25.83	16.53	84.43	67.86	83.80
01.04.23	9	0.024	3.46	30.16	18.50	79.29	55.00	0.00

Table 2. Population of *Spodopterafrugiperda* in relation to weather parameters during Rabi 2023-24

Date of observation	No. of moths/trap	No. of larva/pl.	Per cent Plant infestation	Temp °C		R.H. (%)		R.F. (mm)
				Max.	Min.	Max.	Min.	
20.12.23	0	0.014	1.98	26.76	11.23	85.43	58.86	0.00
27.12.23	0	0.018	2.58	28.39	10.86	73.57	45.43	0.00
03.01.24	1	0.021	2.92	26.69	12.26	89.43	53.57	0.00
10.01.24	0	0.024	3.45	24.59	10.39	87.86	56.14	0.00
17.01.24	0	0.035	5.02	18.44	11.56	96.86	82.14	0.00
24.01.24	0	0.037	5.22	20.47	8.94	93.29	65.57	0.00
31.01.24	0	0.043	6.09	23.50	8.03	92.71	51.57	0.00
07.02.24	0	0.042	5.96	23.97	10.93	81.14	55.86	0.00
14.02.24	2	0.041	5.89	25.01	8.33	86.43	45.86	0.00
21.02.24	3	0.041	5.76	26.99	12.21	87.29	47.71	0.00
28.02.24	2	0.040	5.69	25.74	14.26	77.57	50.14	0.40
06.03.24	8	0.041	5.69	28.56	13.73	79.57	41.29	0.00
13.03.24	14	0.033	4.75	29.93	12.63	68.57	34.71	0.00
20.03.24	13	0.031	4.34	32.67	15.21	69.43	34.14	0.00
27.03.24	15	0.027	3.80	25.40	17.84	85.86	71.86	51.80
03.04.24	12	0.023	3.32	30.90	20.27	76.86	55.43	2.20
10.04.24	11	0.023	3.25	32.77	20.33	72.00	54.00	0.00

3.2. Relationship between pheromone trap catches and corresponding field population

The correlation was done between male moth trap catch with both number of larva/plant and percent plant infestation for five weeks i.e., with n^{th} , $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$, $(n-2)^{\text{th}}$, $(n-3)^{\text{th}}$ and $(n-4)^{\text{th}}$ weeks for both 2022-23 and 2023-24 rabi seasons (Table 3 & 4). Results of the first-year correlation data have shown that there was no significant correlation between number of larva/plant and percent plant infestation with male moth trap catch data for the n^{th} week. However, there was significant negative correlation between number of larva/plant and percent plant infestation with male moth trap catch data for $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$, $(n-2)^{\text{th}}$, $(n-3)^{\text{th}}$ and $(n-4)^{\text{th}}$ weeks at 1% level of significance. The relationship between field infestation (No. of larvae/plant and % pl. infestation) and trap catches were negatively correlated for all the five weeks i.e., n^{th} ($r = -0.396$ and $r = -0.398$), $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$ ($r = -0.713$ and $r = -0.713$), $(n-2)^{\text{th}}$ ($r = -0.839$ and $r = -0.836$), $(n-3)^{\text{th}}$ ($r = -0.844$ and $r = -0.843$), $(n-4)^{\text{th}}$ ($r = -0.796$ and $r = -0.789$) for the year 2022-23. The values were non-significant and negatively correlated for the n^{th} week and significant and negatively correlated for $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$, $(n-2)^{\text{th}}$, $(n-3)^{\text{th}}$, $(n-4)^{\text{th}}$ weeks during the year 2022-23.

During the year 2023-24, correlation data have shown that there was no significant correlation between number of larva/plant and percent plant infestation with male moth trap catch data for n^{th} and $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$ weeks. However, there was significant negative correlation between number of larva/plant and percent plant infestation with male moth trap catch data for, $(n-2)^{\text{th}}$ week at 5% level of significance and at 1% level of significance for $(n-3)^{\text{th}}$ and $(n-4)^{\text{th}}$ weeks. The relationship between field infestation (No. of larvae/plant and % pl. infestation) and trap catches were negatively correlated for all the five weeks i.e., n^{th} ($r = -0.125$ and $r = -0.130$), $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$ ($r = -0.392$ and $r = -0.394$), $(n-2)^{\text{th}}$ ($r = -0.577$ and $r = -0.581$), $(n-3)^{\text{th}}$ ($r = -0.748$ and $r = -0.753$), $(n-4)^{\text{th}}$ ($r = -0.812$ and $r = -0.813$), n^{th} and $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$ weeks were non-significant and negatively correlated, $(n-2)^{\text{th}}$, $(n-3)^{\text{th}}$, $(n-4)^{\text{th}}$ weeks were significant and negatively correlated for the year 2023-24.

The perusal of the results on the association of trap catches of adult moths with field infestation revealed that mostly significant negative correlations were found between these parameters. This might be due to the fact that when the adult moth population started increasing in the field, the maize crop was approaching tasselling stage by that time. In the field fall armyworm infestation was mostly found during the vegetative stage of the crop. After tassel formation till maturity no new infestation could be observed in the field. So, despite high number of adult moths trapped during that period, it could not translate to corresponding high infestation in the field. This trend of results is supported by Niassy et al. (2021) [14], who found a sharper decrease in larval counts (scouting), as compared with adult catches (trap count), when the crop approached maturity. Prasad and Jayakrishna (2013) [15] in their three-year experiment on *Spodoptera litura* and *Helicoverpa armigera*, noted that there was a lag of two weeks between peak of moths in traps and highest incidence of *Spodoptera litura* in tobacco nurseries. Throughout the three years of the study, there was no consistent trend in the peak moth

catch. For all crops studied, *Helicoverpa armigera* incidence was low in two of the three crop seasons, and there was no clear correlation between field incidence and pheromone trap catch during these years. But the above results are in contrast to Pal et al. (2014) [16] who found that larval count of *Helicoverpa armigera* in the n^{th} week had significant positive association with the moth catch data of the preceding week i.e. $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$ week. Muthukrishnan et al. (2022) [17] also found significantly positive correlation between larval counts and pheromone trap catches for *S. frugiperda* in maize.

Table 3. Correlation coefficient (r) between male moth trap catches and field infestation during 2022-23

Male moth trap catches	Field infestation	
	No. of larva/pl.	Per cent pl. infestation
Current week (n)	-0.396	-0.398
One week back (n-1)	-0.713**	-0.713**
Two weeks back (n-2)	-0.839**	-0.836**
Three weeks back (n-3)	-0.844**	-0.843**
Four weeks back (n-4)	-0.796**	-0.789**

**Significant at 1% level

Table 4. Correlation coefficient (r) between male moth trap catches and field infestation during 2023-24

Male moth trap catches	Field infestation	
	No. of larva/pl.	Per cent pl. infestation
Current week (n)	-0.125	-0.130
One week back (n-1)	-0.392	-0.394
Two weeks back (n-2)	-0.577*	-0.581*
Three weeks back (n-3)	-0.748**	-0.753**
Four weeks back (n-4)	-0.812**	-0.813**

*Significant at 5% level

**Significant at 1% level

The funnel width, height of the funnel and funnel diameter and lure components of the company influenced the trap catches [18]. More fall armyworm moths were caught by traps positioned at 1.5 and 2 meters above the ground than by traps at the other placement heights. The lure-trap combination's interaction effects revealed notable variations in fall armyworm catch among the three sites. In terms of catching non-target insects at the three sites, there were also notable variations in the designs of the lures and traps. The number of FAW moths captured per day varied with the type of pheromone lure used. It was also revealed that there was a direct correlation between the number of FAW male moth captures and trap placement height. Traps placed at 1.5 m and 2 m above ground level captured a greater number of male moths than traps at lower placement heights. Interestingly, no statistically significant difference was detected on the numbers of male moths captured at these two placement heights, i.e., 1.5 and 2 m and showed decrease in fall armyworm trap catches with increasing maize maturity. This suggests that for effective management of the fall armyworm,

pheromone-baited traps should be set up during the early maize growth stage, as previously found by [19]. The number of *Spodopteralitura* males trapped by pheromones cannot always reflect the population correctly [20]. It may be important to count the number of female moths. Therefore, pheromone traps, food traps, meteorological data and manual observations of the crop fields should be used in conjunction to establish a more reliable pest monitoring and prevention system [21].

4.CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that field infestation cannot always be estimated by using only pheromone trap catch data. It depends on some other factors also like wind speed, type of pheromone trap used, type of lure used and height at which pheromone trap is positioned which could affect the pheromone trap catch. So, the control measures against the fall armyworm in maize cannot be initiated based on only the trap catch number of adult moths and it is not feasible to time insecticide application on the basis of pheromone trap catch data. Rather, field scouting for larval infestation at periodic level would be more reliable approach to estimate an increasing larval infestation in the field to decide on initiation of pesticide application.

References

- 1.He L, Zhao S, Ali A, Ge S, Wu K. Ambient humidity affects development, survival, and reproduction of the invasive fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), in China. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 2021;114(3): 1145–1158.
- 2.Tepa-Yotto, GT, Meagher RL, Winsou JK, Dahoueto T, Tamò M, Sæthre MG and Nagoshi RN. Monitoring *Spodoptera frugiperda* in Benin: assessing the influence of trap type, pheromone blends, and habitat on pheromone trapping. *Florida Entomologist* 2022;105(1): 71-78.
- 3.Sharanabasappa E, Kalleshwaraswamy M, Asokan R, Swamy HM, Maruthi MS, Pavithra HB, Hegde K, Navi S, Prabhu ST, Goergen G. First report of the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J E Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), an alien invasive pest on maize in India. *Pest Management in Horticultural Ecosystem* 2018;24(1): 23–29.
- 4.Keni M, Benelli G, Biondi, Calatayud PA, Day, Desneux N, Harrison RD, Kriticos D, Rwomushana I, Van den Berg J and Verheggen F. Invasiveness, biology, ecology, and management of the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda*. *Entomologia Generalis* (2022);1-56.
- 5.Pratt CF, Constantin KL and Murphy ST. Economic impacts of invasive alien species on African smallholder livelihoods. *Global Food Security* (2017);14: 31-37.
- 6.Rai PK and Singh JS. Invasive alien plant species: Their impact on environment, ecosystem services and human health. *Ecological indicators* (2020);111: 106020.
- 7.Wiggins S, Kirsten J and Llambí L. The future of small farms. *World development* (2010);38(10): 1341-1348.
- 8.Rahmathulla VK, Sathyanara K, Angadi BS. Influence of abiotic factors on population dynamics of major insect pests of mulberry. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences* (2015);18(5): 215-223.

9. Meagher RL, Agboka K, Tounou AK, Koffi D, Agbevohia KA, Amouze TR, Adjevi KM, Nagoshi RN. Comparison of pheromone trap design and lures for *Spodoptera frugiperda* in Togo and genetic characterization of moths caught. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* 2019;167(6): 507–516.
10. Mitchell ER, Tumlinson JH, McNeil JN. Field evaluations of commercial pheromone formulations and traps using a more effective sex pheromone blend for the fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* 1985;78(6): 1364–1369.
11. Londhe SS, Goswami DB and Goswami MD. The Potential Use of Pheromone Traps in Managing the Invasive Pest *Spodoptera frugiperda*. *Asian Research Journal of Agriculture* (2024);17(4): 157-167.
12. Kumar NR, Chakravarthy AK and Kumar LV. Relationship between pheromone trap catches and field damage of selected lepidopterous pests on vegetable crops. *Pest Management in Horticultural Ecosystems* 2009;15(1): 63-67.
13. Shivakumara B. Investigation on the causes for the variable responses of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) males to synthetic sex pheromone traps. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore 2001;71.
14. Niassy S, Agbodzavu MK, Kimathi E, Mutune B, Abdel-Rahman EF M, Salifu, Hailu G, Belayneh YT, Felege E, Tonnang HE and Ekesi S. Bioecology of fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (JE Smith), its management and potential patterns of seasonal spread in Africa. *PLoS one* 2021;16(6): e0249042.
15. Prasad J and Jayakrishna M. Association of Pheromone Trap Catch of *Spodoptera litura* and *Helicoverpa armigera* with field incidence and weather parameters in Tobacco, Cotton and Chickpea. *Tobacco Research* 2013;39(1): 1-4.
16. Pal S, Chatterjee H and Senapati SK. Monitoring of *Helicoverpa armigera* using pheromone traps and relationship of moth activity with larval infestation on carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus*) in Darjeeling Hills. *Journal of Entomological Research* 2014;38(1): 23-26.
17. Muthukrishnan N, Rajisha P S, Nelson S J, Jerlin R and Karthikeyan R. Population dynamics of fall army worm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (JE Smith) on maize. *Indian Journal of Entomology* 2022; 84(1): 134-136.
18. Prasannakumar NR, Chakravarthy AK, Vijaya kumar L and Gangappa E. Field trails with pheromone traps on major lepidopterous Insect pests of five vegetable crops. *Pest Management in Horticultural Ecosystems* 2009;15(1): 17-27.
19. Sisay B, Subramanian S, Weldon C, Krüger, Khamis, F, Tefera T, Torto and Tamiru A. Evaluation of pheromone lures, trap designs and placement heights for monitoring the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in maize fields of Kenya. *Crop Protection* 2024;176:106523.
20. Akira O, Masaya M and Makoto T. Dispersal of the common cutworm, *Spodoptera litura*, monitored by searchlight trap and relationship with occurrence of soybean leaf damage. *Insects* 2020;11: 427–443.
21. Rao MS, Manimanjari D, Rao CAR and Maheswari M. Prediction of pest scenarios of *Spodoptera litura* Fab. in peanut growing areas of India during future climate change. *National Academy Science Letters* 2015;38: 465–468.

UNDER PEER REVIEW